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ABSTRACT
The modelling of solidification processes in combination with fluid flow is one main application of ANSYS Fluent. The solidification is modelled with the enthalpy porosity technique. Therefore the fluid flow is damped like a flow through a porous media of dendrites. In case of materials with large solidification ranges, like the nickel based superalloy 718, the adjustment possibilities of ANSYS Fluent are often not adequate. The program postulates a linear dependency between liquid fraction and temperature. To improve the simulation, the solidification was implemented by a user defined function (UDF). The principal modelling of fluid flow is based on the theory of ANSYS Fluent, but it is now possible to adjust the liquid fraction in fine temperature steps.

Keywords: Rheology, Interphases, Casting and solidification, Process metallurgy, Alloy 718.

NOMENCLAURE

Greek Symbols

\( \varepsilon \) Turbulent dissipation rate, [-].
\( \lambda \) Thermal conductivity, [W/(m K)].
\( \mu_D \) Dynamic viscosity, [kg/(m s)].
\( \nabla \) Divergence operator, [-].
\( \rho \) Density, [kg/m\(^3\)].
\( \tau \) Shear stress tensor, [N/m\(^2\)].

Latin Symbols

\( A_{mush} \) Mushy zone constant, [kg/(m\(^3\) s)].
\( e \) Internal energy, [J].
\( f \) Fraction, [-].
\( F \) Force against fluid flow per volume, [N/m\(^3\)].
\( g \) Gravity, [m/s\(^2\)].
\( k \) Turbulent kinetic energy, [-].
\( K \) Permeability, [m\(^2\)].
\( l \) Small number, [-].
\( p \) Pressure, [Pa].
\( Q_v \) Volumetric energy source, [J/m\(^3\)].
\( S \) Momentum sink for turbulence, [kg/(m\(^3\) s)].
\( v \) Velocity, [m/s].
\( t \) Time, [s].
\( T \) Temperature, [K].

Sub/superscripts

eff Effective (molecular + turbulent).
ESR Electro slag remelting.
\( e \) Turbulent dissipation rate.
\( k \) Turbulent kinetic energy.
liq Liquidus / liquid.
p Pulling (movement of the solid).
sol Solidus.
UDF User-defined function.
UDM User-defined memory.
VAR Vacuum arc remelting.
x X-direction.
y Y-direction.
z Z-direction.

INTRODUCTION
Metallurgical processes are often modeled to obtain details of the inner fluid flow or temperature distribution, due to the difficult observation possibilities with classical measurement methods. The modelling of solidification processes is in focus of research since the 1970s (Erickson, 1975).

One of the common simulation programs ANSYS Fluent uses the enthalpy-porosity approach (ANSYS Inc., Release 14.5, 2012) which was introduced by Poirier (1987). ANSYS Fluent uses the assumption that the liquid fraction is proportional to the temperature in the solidification range. For many standard steels, this assumption will be an appropriate approach. In case of some nickel based superalloys, like alloy 718, the supposition is far-out the real material behavior. Therefore, user-defined functions implement the solidification to reproduce the real material behavior.

SOLIDIFICATION PHENOMENA
Important for the simulation of solidification processes are the damping of the fluid flow in the mushy region and the solidification enthalpy. The damping is adjustable with the material specific mushy zone constant (Voller et al., 1990) and considers the liquid fraction also.

Figure 1 shows the liquid fraction of an alloy 718 in respect to the temperature in the solidification range calculated by JMatPro. Obviously, the linear approximation made by ANSYS Fluent is not appropriate for this material. After a cooling of 25 % of the temperature range the liquid fraction is not 75 % but only 40 %. Therefore, the
damping of the fluid flow is underestimated by ANSYS Fluent.

The deviation of the liquid fraction from alloy 718 results in a nonlinear behavior of the enthalpy in the solidification range, because the solidification enthalpy is dependent on the liquid fraction. Figure 2 shows the comparison of solidification enthalpies in respect to the temperature in the solidification range. The grey line shows the linear implementation of ANSYS Fluent. Obviously, the change in enthalpy of the mild steel (Koric and Thomas, 2008) is close to the approximation from ANSYS Fluent. Whereas, the red line, representing Alloy 718 (Overfelt et al., 1994), shows a considerably different behavior.

**Figure 1:** Liquid fraction of alloy 718 (Giesselmann et al., 2015) in comparison to ANSYS Fluent

**Figure 2:** Comparison of solidification enthalpies (Overfelt et al., 1994, Koric and Thomas, 2008)

### BUILT-IN SOLIDIFICATION IN ANSYS FLUENT

The solidification module from ANSYS Inc. (Release 14.5, 2012) uses the enthalpy-porosity approach to implement the damping of the fluid flow in the mushy region. Poirier (1987) shows, that the inter dendritic flow follows Darcy’s law (Darcy, 1856):

\[
\nabla p = -\frac{\mu_p}{K} \cdot v
\]

\[1\]

Voller and Prakash (1987) implemented the awareness of Poirier (1987) in the fluid flow modeling. Later, a mushy zone constant was introduced to replace the dynamic viscosity \(\mu_p\) and the unknown permeability \(K\) (Voller et al., 1990). The liquid fraction \(f_{\text{liq}}\) represents the change in permeability, whereas the mushy zone constant \(\lambda_{\text{mush}}\) implements the different material behavior (2). The small number \(\epsilon\) is equal 0.001 to avoid a division by zero (ANSYS Inc., Release 14.5, 2012).

\[
\frac{\mu_p}{K} = \frac{(1-f_{\text{liq}})^2}{f_{\text{liq}}^3 - \epsilon} \cdot \lambda_{\text{mush}}
\]

\[2\]

The ratio between viscosity and permeability (see formula (2)) is then inserted in the equations (3) and (4) to formulate the force \(F\) against the fluid flow \(v\) as well as the momentum \(S\) against the turbulence quantities \(\Phi\).

\[
F = \frac{(1-f_{\text{liq}})^2}{f_{\text{liq}}^3 - \epsilon} \cdot \lambda_{\text{mush}} \cdot (v - v_p)
\]

\[3\]

\[
S = \frac{(1-f_{\text{liq}})^2}{f_{\text{liq}}^3 - \epsilon} \cdot \lambda_{\text{mush}} \cdot \Phi
\]

\[4\]

The necessary turbulence quantities depend on the used turbulence model. Equation (4) is equal for all quantities like turbulent dissipation rate \(\epsilon\), turbulent kinetic energy \(k\), specific dissipation \(\omega\) and so on (ANSYS Inc., Release 14.5, 2012).

To show the implementation of the formula above, the momentum equation of the solver (5) is given below. The damping force \(F\) of the fluid flow (Equation (4)) is inserted in the last term.

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho \cdot v) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \cdot v \cdot v) = -\nabla p + \nabla \cdot (\tau) + \rho \cdot g + F
\]

\[5\]

As mentioned in the previous chapter, the solidification enthalpy is distributed linear over the temperature range of solidification and implemented as source term \(S_m\) in the energy equation (6).

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho \cdot e) + \nabla \cdot (v \cdot (\rho \cdot e + p)) = \nabla \cdot (\lambda_{\text{eff}} \cdot \nabla T + \tau_{\text{eff}} \cdot v) + Q_e
\]

\[6\]
USER-DEFINED SOLIDIFICATION MODEL

To reconstruct the real material behavior of alloy 718 an in-house developed solidification model based on UDFs is used for several process models, like electro slag remelting (ESR) and vacuum arc remelting (VAR).

Approach

The aim of the modified solidification model is to implement the nonlinear behavior of the liquid fraction in respect to the temperature. The curve progression can be received for example from a Scheil-Gulliver approach like in Figure 1 or other calculation programs for thermophysical data.

The idea was to reconstruct the solidification model of ANSYS Fluent by user-defined functions. Therefore, the main equations ((3) and (4)) for the damping are also used. The solidification enthalpy is included in the heat capacity of the material.

Implementation

The implementation of the modified solidification model is based on a DEFINE_ADJUST function for the liquid fraction and several DEFINE_SOURCE functions for the damping. A modified heat capacity includes the change in enthalpy. The liquid fraction should be adjusted very detailed to represent the real fluid flow. Therefore, liquid fraction and solidification enthalpy out of the thermophysical database are divided in 1 K steps.

Damping of the fluid flow

A DEFINE_ADJUST UDF loops over all the cells in the fluid regions to get the temperature of the cells. A lookup function searches the corresponding liquid fraction for these temperatures out of the tabulated liquid fractions. The liquid fraction is saved in a user-defined memory (UDM) for post processing.

The ratio between viscosity and permeability is calculated with equation (2) and saved in another UDM. This ratio is the damping term of velocities and turbulence quantities (see equation (3) and (4)). The damping force and momentum values are calculated in several DEFINE_SOURCE UDFs. One UDF for each velocity direction and the turbulence quantities, typical turbulent dissipation rate $\epsilon$ and turbulent kinetic energy $k$. The source value is the negative product of the damping term with the velocity or turbulence value (See equations (7) to (11)). If a pull velocity $v_p$ moves the solid region, it has to be subtracted from the fluid velocity, here in the x direction:

$$ F_x = -\frac{(1 - f_{liq})^2}{f_{liq}^3 - \epsilon} \cdot A_{mush} \cdot (v_x - v_p) $$

(7)

$$ F_y = -\frac{(1 - f_{liq})^2}{f_{liq}^3 - \epsilon} \cdot A_{mush} \cdot v_y $$

(8)

$$ F_z = -\frac{(1 - f_{liq})^2}{f_{liq}^3 - \epsilon} \cdot A_{mush} \cdot v_z $$

(9)

$$ S_k = -\frac{(1 - f_{liq})^2}{f_{liq}^3 - \epsilon} \cdot A_{mush} \cdot k $$

(10)

The five source terms have to be included for the corresponding values in the ANSYS Fluent interface. The program implements the source terms in the momentum equation (5) as well as the turbulence model.

Solidification enthalpy

To implement the nonlinear behavior of the solidification enthalpy (see Figure 2) the enthalpy is included in the heat capacity of the material (see Figure 3). Therefore, it is not necessary to modify the energy equation (6) of the solver.

![Figure 3: Heat capacity of alloy 718 including the solidification enthalpy (Giesselmann, 2014)](image)

Obviously, most of the solidification enthalpy is needed or set free near to the liquidus temperature. This refers to the steep slope of the liquidus fraction in this area (compare Figure 1).

Another possibility to implement the enthalpy of solidification would be a DEFINE_SOURCE UDF. The advantage of the presented solution is the reversible character of the heat capacity. Because some parts of the simulated region maybe melt on again, the solution with source term would be more elaborate. Whereas the heat capacity offers directly the possibility for change of sign in the temperature derivation.

COMPARISON OF THE MODELS

To compare the built-in solidification of ANSYS Fluent with the UDF based solidification model a test case was set up. Figure 4 and Figure 5 show the flow of hot metal through a cooled pipe. The left face is a velocity inlet of hot liquid metal. The top wall is at constant temperature, which is lower than the solidus temperature. At the right side, the boundary is an outflow. The contour plot visualizes the liquid fraction from one (white) to zero (black). The black line symbolizes the position of 1% solid fraction. The vectors and their lengths show the velocity. In Figure 4 the solidification model of ANSYS Fluent was used. Therefore, the liquid fraction increases uniformly over the whole solidification range.
Figure 4: Test case: Built-in Fluent solidification model

Figure 5 shows the same test case simulation as Figure 4 with the UDF based solidification model. Obviously, the shape of the solidified area is slightly different, but more interesting is the case that there is sharp edge in the middle of the gray scale. Therefore, the fluid flow is damped at this position abruptly.

Figure 5: Test case: UDF solidification model

The comparison of the two test cases show the similarity of the models as well as the decisive differences. Whereas the flow in first case is damped smoothly, the damping with the UDF based model is more abrupt.

CONCLUSION

A modified solidification model for ANSYS Fluent was introduced. It offers the possibility to reproduce the real material behavior in context of liquid fraction in respect to temperature. Which is important for the damping of the fluid flow in the mushy region as well as the distribution of the solidification enthalpy over temperature.

The solidification model of ANSYS Fluent was modified and calculated in a user-defined function to adjust the liquid fraction concerning the cell temperature properly. The damping of the motion values is then implemented by source terms for velocities and turbulence quantities. The solidification enthalpy is included in the heat capacity of the material. Therefore, the enthalpy can be fitted very detailed.

A test case shows the similarities and differences of the two models. The modified solidification implements a more abrupt damping of the fluid flow.

The modified solidification model is able to replicate the material behavior more detailed than the built-in solidification module of ANSYS Fluent.
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