Numerical Investigation of Irregular Breaking Waves for Extreme Wave Spectra using CFD

Ankit Aggarwal¹, Mayilvahanan Alagan Chella¹, Hans Bihs¹, Csaba Pákzodi², Petter Andreas Berthelsen², Øivind A.

 $Arntsen^1$

¹Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering Norwegian University of Science and Technology ²SINTEF Ocean Trondheim, Norway

ABSTRACT

Offshore structures are exposed to irregular sea states. It consists of breaking and non-breaking waves. They experience breaking wave loads perpetually after being installed in the open ocean. Thus, the study of wave breaking is an important factor in the design of offshore structures. In the present study, a numerical investigation is performed to study breaking irregular waves in deep water. The irregular waves are generated using the Torsethaugen spectrum which is a double-peaked spectrum defined for a locally fully developed sea. The Torsethaugen spectrum takes both the sea and swell waves into account. Thus, the generated waves can be very steep. The numerical investigation of such steep breaking waves is quite challenging due to their high wave steepness and wave-wave interaction. The present investigation is performed using the open-source computational fluid dynamics (CFD) model REEF3D. The wave generation and propagation of steep irregular waves in the numerical model is validated by comparing the numerical wave spectrum with the experimental input wave spectrum. The numerical results are in a good agreement with experimental results. The changes in the spectral wave density during the wave propagation are studied. Further, the double-hinged flap wavemaker is also tested and validated by comparing the numerical and experimental free surface elevation over time. The time and the frequency domain analysis is also performed to investigate the changes in the free surface horizontal velocity. Complex flow features during the wave propagation are well captured by the CFD model.

KEYWORDS: Irregular breaking waves, CFD, double-peaked spectrum, double-hinged flap wavemaker.

INTRODUCTION

Offshore wind turbines are exposed to the extreme irregular sea states. Extreme waves exert extreme hydrodynamic loads on the substructures. Thus, the study of irregular breaking waves is very important in the design of offshore wind turbines. Several experimental and field investigations have been performed in the past to study extreme waves. Such spectra exhibit two peaks, due the presence of swell and wind waves. Ochi and Hubble (1976) carried out a statistical analysis of 800 measured wave spectra at the North Atlantic Ocean. They derived a sixparameter double-peaked spectrum. The spectrum is composed of two parts: one which primarily includes the low frequency wave components and second which contains the high frequency wave components. Each part of the wave spectrum is represented by three parameters. The six-parameter spectrum represents almost all stages of the sea condition associated with a storm. Guedes and Nolasco (1992) analysed wave data from the North Atlantic and the North Sea and proposed a four-parameter double-peaked spectrum. This double-peaked spectrum was formulated by superimposing individual spectral components of the JONSWAP type single-peaked spectrum. Torsethaugen (1996) used a similar approach of combining two individual JONSWAP spectra for different frequency ranges, but instead of averaging he used other parameters of the JONSWAP spectrum. Violante-Carvalho et al. (2004) studied the influence of swell waves on wind waves by using buoy data measurements in deep water in the South Atlantic sea. Other researchers have also made efforts in this direction to study the double-peaked spectra (Masson (1993); Dobson et al. (1989)). Pákozdi et al. (2015) performed laboratory experiments with breaking irregular waves using the Toresthuagen spectrum to measure the global impact loads on offshore structures . Their study highlighted the importance of double-peaked spectra for a better representation of extreme sea states.

Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) can be used as an effective tool to study such double-peaked spectra. CFD has been used previously by many researchers to numerically study the breaking and non-breaking waves. Alagan Chella et al. (2016a,b); Alagan Chella et al. (2015); Kamath et al. (2016) studied breaking waves and breaking wave forces on a vertical slender cylinder over an impermeable sloping seabed and they observed a good match with experiments. Bihs et al. (2016b) investigated the interaction of breaking waves with tandem cylinders under different impact scenarios. Bredmose and Jacobsen (2010) investigated breaking wave impacts on offshore wind turbine foundations for the focused wave groups using CFD. They compared the numerical and theoretical free surface and wave forces in time-domain by using the linear reconstruction of waves . Östman et al. (2015) performed CFD investigations with irregular breaking waves using the Torsethaugen spectrum. They compared their numerical results with experimental data (Pákozdi et al., 2015). They found a reasonable match between CFD and experiments. However, the numerical extreme wave crest heights were lower and wave phases were not correct in comparison with experiments. Also, the wave energy content for the higher frequencies was not accurately captured in comparison with the experiments.

The goal of the present study is to numerically investigate the irregular wave generation and propagation for the breaking irregular waves generated using the double-peaked spectrum: Torsethaugen spectrum, by using the open-source computational fluid dynamics (CFD) model REEF3D (Bihs et al., 2016a). The wave generation and propagation is tested by comparing the numerical wave spectrum with the experimental wave spectrum in a numerical wave tank without any structures. The numerical results are in a good agreement with the experimental results. Next, the double-hinged flap wavemaker theory in the numerical model is validated by performing comparison of the numerical wave free surface elevation in time-domain with the experimental data (Pákozdi et al., 2015). Further, the changes in the horizontal velocities at the free surface during wave propagation are also investigated in the time and frequency domain.

NUMERICAL MODEL

The present numerical model is based on the governing equations of fluid dynamics: the continuity equation and the Reynolds Averaged Navier-Stokes equations (RANS) with the assumption of an incompressible fluid given as:

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + u_j \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left[\left(\nu + \nu_t\right) \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right) \right] + g_i \quad (2)$$

where, u is the velocity averaged over time t, ρ is the fluid density, p is the pressure, ν is the kinematic viscosity, ν_t is the eddy viscosity, i and j denote the indices in x and y direction, respectively and g_i is the acceleration due to gravity.

The numerical model uses the fifth-order finite difference Weighted Essentially Non-Oscillatory (WENO) scheme in multispace dimensions for the spatial discretization (Jiang and Peng, 2000). The third order TVD Runge Kutta scheme is used for the time discretization (Shu and Oscher, 1988). An adaptive time stepping scheme is used in the numerical model (Griebel et al., 1998). The present study uses the $k - \omega$ model (Wilcox, 1994) along with the Reynolds Averaged Navier Stokes (RANS) equation. The level set method is used to capture the free surface (Osher and Sethian, 1988). Detailed information about the numerical model can be obtained in Bihs et al. (2016a). In the numerical model REEF3D, the irregular waves are generated by the super-positioning of the linear regular waves components (Aggarwal et al. (2016a,b)). The second-order irregular wave theory is used here (Schaffer, 1996). The present study uses the experimental spectrum as an input for the numerical model which is generated using the Torsethaugen spectrum (Torsethaugen, 1996). The input values to the spectrum are the significant wave height H_s and the peak period T_p .

The double-hinged flap wave-maker is also tested and validated in the present work. The schematic sketch of the double-hinged flap wave-maker is shown in Fig. 1. The paddle motion is directed positive towards the wall and negative towards the water. Angles for both hinges with respect to time are given as input to the numerical model (β for H1 and $\beta + \gamma$ for H2). The angles are converted to distance vector X(z) using:

$$sign = -\frac{\theta}{|\theta|}; X(z) = sign * |sin\theta|$$
 (3)

where, θ is the angle at hinge measured from the vertical (β for H1 and $\beta + \gamma$ for H2).

The velocity, U(z, t) which is the inflow boundary condition is calculated as:

$$U(z,t) = \frac{\delta X(z)}{\delta t} \tag{4}$$

where X(z) varies as a function of depth (normalised with respect to the flap length). The values are zero at the hinge and maximum at the tip of the flap.

SETUP OF THE NUMERICAL WAVE TANK

The numerical tests are conducted in a two-dimensional numerical wave tank (NWT) as shown in Fig. 2. The numerical model is validated by comparing the numerical results with the experimental data (Pákozdi et al., 2015). The NWT is 56 m long and 15 m high with a water depth of 10 m is used in the simulations. Five wave gauges and three velocity probes are placed along the length of NWT to study the changes in the wave surface elevation and free surface wave velocity.

RESULTS

Grid Refinement Study With Wave Spectrum Input

The grid refinement study is conducted for the wave spectra in the NWT under irregular breaking waves. The numerical tests are performed for the significant wave height $H_s = 0.345$ m and the peak period $T_p = 2.6$ s with three different grid sizes, dx =0.10m, 0.05m and 0.01m for the grid refinement study. Fig. 3 presents the comparison of numerical and experimental spectral wave density over the frequency for different grid sizes at WG1, WG2, WG3 and WG4. For the wave gauge located next to the wave generation (WG1), the numerical results with dx = 0.10m, dx = 0.05 m are not captured properly in comparison with the experimental results in the high frequency range, also the numerical peak spectral wave density is lower than the experimental peak spectral wave density by 57.14 % and 25.71 % at grid sizes dx= 0.10m and 0.05m, respectively. The results with dx = 0.01m show a good match with the experimental ranges in most of the frequency range. The difference between the experimental and numerical peak spectral wave density reduces to 3.92 % (Fig. 3 (a)). However, the numerical wave spectrum is relatively narrow compared to the experimental case, and some difference is observed between experimental and numerical spectra in higher



Fig. 1: A schematic sketch of the double-hinged flap wavemaker



Fig. 2: Setup of the numerical wave tank (side view)



Fig. 3: Comparison of the numerical results with different grid sizes and the experimental data (Pákozdi et al., 2015) for the wave spectra at (a) WG1 (b) WG2 (c) WG3 (d) WG4

frequencies (0.81 Hz to 1.00 Hz). A similar behaviour is observed for the comparison between the other wave gauges (Figs. 3 (b), 3(c) and 3 (d)). For WG3, for dx = 0.01m, the numerical spectrum is narrower (0.2 Hz to 0.78 Hz) as compared to the experimental spectrum (0.2 Hz to 1.1 Hz). The spectral peaks and spectral wave densities at all wave gauges are well captured by the numerical model in most of the frequency range when compared with the experimental spectrum. A decrease in the peak value of spectral wave density is noticed in both numerical and experimental spectra during the wave propagation. The secondary peaks are observed at all wave gauge locations in both the experimental and numerical results. The peak spectral wave density for WG4 is lower than the wave gauge located next to the generation zone (WG1). This is due to wave-wave interactions leading to some steep waves. These steep waves either break or are very close to breaking, and thus, they lose some energy during this process resulting in lower peak spectral wave density at the wave gauge located close to the end of the wave tank (WG4).

Grid Refinement Study For Double-Hinged Flap Wavemaker

In this part of the paper, the breaking irregular wave generation using the double-hinged flap wavemaker theory is tested and validated. The input angle signals for the upper and lower flaps from the experiments are used as inputs for the double-hinged flap wavemaker in the numerical model. The numerical tests are performed for the significant wave height $H_s = 0.345$ m and T_p = 2.6 s with three different grid sizes, dx = 0.10 m, 0.05 m and 0.01m for the grid refinement study. In the CFD simulations, the waves are numerically generated using 43 s of wave flap signal. Two extreme wave events are generated here using the signals from the experiments. Fig. 4 presents the comparison of numerical and experimental wave free surface elevation (m) over time (s) for three different grid sizes around the steepest wave crest for extreme events 1 and 2. For the extreme event 1, a difference of 14.82 % and 6.5 % is observed between the experimental and numerical crest for grid sizes dx = 0.10 m and 0.05 m, respectively. The difference between the experimental and numerical crest height for the extreme event 1 reduces to 0.04 % for grid size dx = 0.01m. There is almost no observed phase difference between the experimental and numerical extreme event at fine grid dx = 0.10m around the steep wave crest (Fig. 4 (a)). A similar behaviour is observed for extreme event 2. The difference between the numerical and experimental wave crest height for the extreme event is 36.4 % and 26.56 % for the grid sizes dx =0.10m and 0.05m, respectively. This difference reduces to 9.81 %at grid size dx = 0.01m. The phase information is also captured reasonably well (Fig. 4(b)).

Fig. 5 presents the comparison of experimental and numerical (dx = 0.01m) results for the wave free surface elevation over a longer time-series. For extreme event 1, the numerical results are in a good agreement with the experimental results for most of the time-series. The wave crests peaks for the steep waves are captured in the numerical model with good accuracy, when compared with the experimental data (Fig. 5(a)). For extreme event 2, a behaviour similar to extreme event 1 is observed. The wave propagation and peaks of the wave crests are in good agreement with the experimental data. However, it is noticed that the numerical peak for steepest wave in this case is slightly lower

than the experiments. Also, for some parts of time-series, the wave troughs are slightly overpredicted by the numerical model in comparison with the experiments and wave phases are slightly disagree with experiments.

CHANGES IN THE FREE SURFACE HORIZONTAL VELOCITY

A transformation of the free surface wave velocity is observed during the wave propagation. In this section of the paper, the variation of the horizontal component of particle velocity (at the free surface) during the wave propagation in the numerical wave tank is investigated. Fig. 6 presents the variation of numerical velocity (m/s) over time (s) at different velocity probe locations. It is observed that for event 1, the velocity computed at the probe located at x = 15m (P2) have higher value of peak velocities as compared with the velocity measurements at the probe located next to wave generation (P1). This might be due to the generation of steeper waves (with larger wave height and larger velocity) during wave propagation. The peak velocities measured at the velocity probe located at x = 40m (P3) shows lower crest values as compared with the velocity measured at the probe located at x = 15m (P2) (Fig. 6(a). A similar behaviour is observed for the extreme event 2 (Fig. 6(b)).

Further, the frequency domain analysis is performed to study the changes in the horizontal component of velocity (at the free surface). Fig. 7 presents the velocity spectral density (m^2/s) over frequency (Hz) at different velocity probes along the numerical wave tank. It is observed that two spectral peaks are observed in the frequency spectrum. For extreme event 1, the primary peak is observed at f = 0.42 Hz with a value of 0.063 m^2/s , while the secondary peak occurs at f = 0.80 Hz with a value of 0.0396 m^2/s for the velocity probe located next to wave generation (P1). The value of the primary peak is increased by 8.7 % and the value of secondary peak is decreased by 62 %, also a shift of 0.02 Hz is observed in the location of secondary peak occurrence for the probe located at x = 15m (P2). A decrease in the values of both primary and secondary peaks is observed for the velocity probe located at x = 40 m(P3). Also, more than one secondary peak is noticed (Fig. 7 (a)). A similar behaviour is observed for the extreme event 2, the increase of 54.23 % is noticed in the primary spectral peak for the probe located at x = 15m (P2) as compared with the primary spectral peak at the velocity probe located next to wave generation (P1). This increase for extreme event 2 is higher compared to the extreme event 1, but the spectral peak values in general for event 1 are larger than event 2. This might be due to higher steepest wave crest during event 1 (Fig. 5).

FREE SURFACE CHANGES

Fig. 8 presents the simulated free surface changes with velocity magnitude (m/s) variation during the propagation of irregular steep waves in the numerical wave tank (NWT) at different timesteps. Fig. 8 (a) shows a two-dimensional view of the irregular wave propagation along the whole domain of NWT at t = 38.27 s. The waves with different velocities in the irregular free surface are observed. Fig. 8 (b) show a zoomed view around the steep wave, it is noticed that the velocity of the wave crest starts to get larger as compared to the other waves. In the next time step at t = 38.49 s, the steep wave (extreme event 1) with very



Fig. 4: Comparison of numerical and experimental wave free surface elevation for different grid sizes during the (a) extreme event 1 (b) extreme event 2



Fig. 6: Numerical horizontal velocity with respect to time during the (a) extreme event 1 (b) extreme event 2



Fig. 5: Comparison of numerical and experimental wave free surface elevation for dx = 0.01 m for longer time series during the (a) extreme event 1 (b) extreme event 2



Fig. 7: Numerical velocity spectral density over frequency during the (a) extreme event 1 (b) extreme event 2



Fig. 8: Simulated free surface changes with velocity magnitude (m/s) variation during the propagation of the irregular steep wave along the wave tank a) t=38.27 s (b) Zoomed-in-view at t=38.27 s (c) Zoomed-in-view at t=38.49 s (d) Zoomed-in-view at t=38.69 s (e) Zoomed-in-view at t=38.81 s

high velocity and elevation is observed (Fig. 8 (c)). After the wave crest reaches it maximum height and attain the maximum crest velocity as shown in Fig. 8 (c), the velocities and the wave heights start to decrease again (Figs. 8 (d) and 8 (e)).

CONCLUSIONS

The numerical model REEF3D is used to model irregular steep waves for the extreme wave spectrum. The numerical model is validated with measured data for simulating breaking irregular waves using the double-peaked Torsethaugen spectrum. A grid refinement study is performed in order to study the effect of the grid size on the numerical results for the wave spectral density. A good match is observed between the experimental and numerical results. The wave spectrum shows two peaks for all wave gauges. The value of the primary peak is slightly lower for the wave gauge located close to the end of wave tank as compared to the wave gauge located next to the wave generation zone due to the loss of energy during wave propagation. Further, the numerical double-hinged flap wavemaker is validated by comparing the wave free surface elevation time series with the experimental data. This is done for two extreme events as measured in the experiments. A grid refinement study is also conducted to study the effect of grid sizes on the wave free surface elevation. It is noticed that numerical values for the steepest wave crest are in a good agreement with the experimental peak crest values. Also, the numerical wave propagation is represented to a reasonable accuracy for a longer time series and shows a good comparison with the experiments. However, some disagreement in the wave phases is observed due to presence of very steep waves in the wave train. In the next section, investigations are performed to study the changes in the horizontal velocity at the free surface. The peak values of horizontal velocities measured at the probe located close to the end of the wave tank show lower peak values because of the energy loss during wave propagation. An increase in the primary spectral velocity peak and decrease in the secondary spectral velocity peak is observed during wave propagation in comparison with the velocity probe located next to the wave generation. Overall, the measured experimental breaking irregular waves for extreme wave spectrum can be computed in the present numerical model REEF3D with a reasonable accuracy.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research work has been funded by the Research Council of Norway through the project "Hydrodynamic Loads on Offshore Wind Turbine Substructures" (project number: 246810). The authors gratefully acknowledge the computing time granted by NOTUR (project number: NN2620k).

References

- Aggarwal, A., Alagan Chella, M., Kamath, A., Bihs, H., and Arntsen, A., O. (2016a). "Irregular wave forces on a large vertical circular cylinder." *Energy Proceedia*, 94, 504–516.
- Aggarwal, A., Alagan Chella, M., Kamath, A., Bihs, H., and Arntsen. A., O. (2016b). "Numerical simulation of irregular wave forces on a horizontal cylinder." ASME 2016 35th Inter-

national Conference on Ocean, Offshore and Arctic Engineering, Volume 2: CFD and VIV.

- Alagan Chella, M., Bihs, H., and Myrhaug, D. (2015). "Characteristics and profile asymmetry properties of waves breaking over an impermeable submerged reef." *Coastal engg.*, 100, 26– 36.
- Alagan Chella, M., Bihs, H., Myrhaug, D., and Michael, M. (2016a). "Breaking solitary waves and breaking wave forces on a vertically mounted slender cylinder over an impermeable sloping seabed." *Journal of Ocean Engineering and Marine En*ergy, 1–19.
- Alagan Chella, M., Bihs, H., Myrhaug, D., and Michael, M. (2016b). "Hydrodynamic characteristics and geometric properties of plunging and spilling breakers over impermeable slopes." *Ocean Modelling*, 103, 53–72.
- Bihs, H., Kamath, A., Alagan Chella, M., Aggarwal, A., and Arntsen. A., O. (2016a). "A new level set numerical wave tank with improved density interpolation for complex wave hydrodynamics." *Computers and fluids*, 140, 191–208.
- Bihs, H., Kamath, A., Alagan Chella, M., and Arntsen. A., O. (2016b). "Breaking-wave interaction with tandem cylinders under different impact scenarios." *Journal of Waterway, Port, Coastal, and Ocean Engineering.*
- Bredmose, H. and Jacobsen, G. N. (2010). "Breaking wave impacts on offshore wind turbine foundations: focused wave groups using CFD." *Proceedings of OMAE*, June 6-11.
- Dobson, F., Perrie, W., and Toulany, B. (1989). "On the deepwater fetch laws for wind-generated surface gravity waves..." *AtmosphereOcean*, 27(1), 210–36.
- Griebel, M., Dornseifer, T., and Neunhoeffer, T. (1998). Numerical Simulations in Fluid Dynamics. SIAM.
- Guedes, S. C. and Nolasco, M. (1992). "Spectral modeling of sea states with multiple wave systems." J Offshore Mech Arctic Engng, 114, 278–284.

- Jiang, G. S. and Peng, D. (2000). "Weighted ENO schemes for Hamilton Jacobi equations." SIAM Journal of Scientific Computing, 21, 2126–2143.
- Kamath, A., Chella, A., M., H., B., and Arntsen, A., Ø. (2016). "Breaking wave interaction with a vertical cylinder and the effect of breaker location." *Ocean Engineering*, 128, 105–115.
- Masson, D. (1993). "On the nonlinear coupling between swell and wind waves." J Phys Oceanogr, 23, 1249–58.
- Ochi, M. and Hubble, E. (1976). "On six-parameter wave spectra." In Proc 15th Coastal Engng Conf ASCE, 321.
- Osher, S. and Sethian, J. A. (1988). "Fronts propagating with curvature- dependent speed: Algorithms based on hamiltonjacobi formulations." *Journal of Computational Physics*, 79, 12–49.
- Östman, A., Pákozdi, C., and Stansberg, C., T. (2015). "Cfd simulation and validation of breaking wave impact events in irregular sea states." *Proceedings of ISOPE*.
- Pákozdi, C., Visscher, J., H., Stansberg, C., and Fagertun, J. (2015). "Experimental investigation of global wave impact loads in steep random seas." *Proceedings of ISOPE*.
- Schaffer, H. A. (1996). "Second-order wavemaker theory for irregular waves." Ocean Eng., 23(1), 47–88.
- Shu, C. W. and Oscher, S. (1988). "Efficient implementation of essentially non-oscillatory shock capturing schemes ii." *Journal* of Computational Physics, 77, 439–71.
- Torsethaugen, K. (1996). "Model for double peaked wave spectrum." SINTEF report STF22 A96204.
- Violante-Carvalho, N., Ocampo-Torres, F., and Robinson, I. (2004). "Buoy observations of the influence of swell on wind waves in the open ocean." *Journal of Computational Physics*, 26, 49–60.
- Wilcox, D. (1994). "Turbulence modeling for cfd." . DCW Industries Inc. La Canada, California.