ABSTRACT

Slender marine structures are subjected to ocean currents, which can cause vortex-induced vibrations (VIV). Accumulated damage due to VIV can shorten the fatigue life of marine structures, so it needs to be considered in the design and operation phase.

VIV prediction tools are based on hydrodynamic coefficients, which are obtained from forced motion experiments on a circular cylinder. Most of the forced motion experiments apply harmonic motions in either in-line (IL) or cross-flow (CF) direction. Combined IL and CF forced motion experiments are also reported. However, measured motions from flexible pipe VIV tests contain higher order harmonic components, which have not yet been extensively studied.

This paper presents results from conventional forced motion VIV experiments, but using measured motions taken from a flexible pipe undergoing VIV. The IL excitation coefficients were used by semi-empirical VIV prediction software VIVANA to perform combined IL and CF VIV calculation. The key IL results are compared with NDP flexible pipe model test results. By using present IL excitation coefficients, the prediction of IL responses for combined IL and CF VIV responses is improved.

INTRODUCTION

State-of-the-art VIV prediction tools are semi-empirical, which means that they are based on hydrodynamic coefficients obtained from experiments. Pure CF forced VIV experiments were done by [1] on a rigid circular cylinder at $Re = 10^4$. These excitation coefficients have been widely used to predict pure CF VIV responses and, in some cases, also used to predict the CF part of combined IL and CF VIV responses. Pure IL VIV forced VIV experiments were carried out at $Re = 2.4 \times 10^4$ [2]. Pure IL excitation coefficients obtained from these experiments have been used to predict pure IL VIV responses, but are not valid for prediction of the IL part of combined IL and CF responses. Forced motion tests with two dimensional harmonic motions have been carried out by [13].

Realistic orbits measured from a flexible beam VIV model tests were applied in forced motion VIV model tests [9]. This experimental method was first applied by [4], and further used by [9] and [10]. Both non-periodic time history of the motions and representative periodic motions were used in the experiments, see Figure 2. The hydrodynamic coefficients from the periodic motion tests were calculated and presented in [6]. The sensitivity of the hydrodynamic force and vortex shedding modes on the different realistic orbits were investigated in [7]. It was found that harmonic orbits had larger uncertainties to predict VIV than realistic orbits, and that IL motions can result in large higher order force components. Results from non-periodic and periodic forced motion VIV tests were compared in [8]. Depending on the response types, for quasi-periodic VIV responses, periodic orbits are representative for non-periodic time histories; while when the responses are partly or fully chaotic, the hydrodynamic coefficients calculated from tests with selected periodic orbits have larger uncertainty or fail to represent the entire time history.

NOMENCLATURE

$A_{CF}$ Amplitude in CF direction
$A_{IL}$ Amplitude in IL direction
$A_y$ Amplitude in y direction (CF)
$A/D$ Amplitude to diameter ratio
$c_D$ Drag coefficient
$c_{D0}$ Drag coefficient of a fixed cylinder
CF Cross-flow
$D$ Diameter
DOF Degree of freedom
$f_n$ Natural frequency
$f_{osc}$ Oscillation frequency
$f_v$ Vortex-shedding frequency
$\hat{f}$  Non-dimensional oscillation frequency
IL  In-line
$L$  Length
Re  Reynolds number
VIV  Vortex-induced vibrations
$x_{IL/CF}$  Displacement in IL or CF directions
$Y_{RMS}$  Root-mean-square of displacement in Y direction
$\omega$  Circular frequency
$\phi$  Phase angle between IL and CF motions
$\sigma_{IL,disp,max}$  Spatial maximum std. of IL displacement
$\sigma_{IL,mean}$  Spatial mean IL stress

**TEST SET-UP**

The forced motion experiments were carried out in the NTNU Marine Cybernetics Laboratory (MC-Lab). The MC-lab is a small tank with dimensions ($L \times B \times D$) of 40 m × 6.45 m × 1.5 m. The tank is equipped with a wave maker and an overhead towing carriage. Six degrees of freedom (DOF) forced motions can be achieved by a computer controlled system. In present tests, only IL and CF motions were applied to the tested model.

The test setup of forced motion VIV tests is shown in Figure 1. A test yoke was attached to the towing carriage. Target IL and CF oscillatory motions were achieved by moving the yoke horizontally and vertically while the carriage was towed. For each test, the motions of the towing carriage and the test model were input by an input file to the host computer which remotely controlled the motions.

![Figure 1 Sketch and photos of test set-up of forced motion VIV tests in NTNU MC-Lab.](image)

The test model is a rigid steel cylinder with an outer diameter of 10 cm and a length of 2 m, giving a length-to-diameter ratio of 20. The detailed properties of the cylinder model are shown in Table 1. The cylinder was mounted to the yoke. The two ends of the cylinder were capped to keep the cylinder air filled and the cylinder mass as low as possible. Two end plates ($7D \times 5D$) were installed to reduce three-dimensional end effects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Dimension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>2 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer diameter</td>
<td>0.1 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass in air</td>
<td>9.775 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer surface</td>
<td>Painted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two force sensors were mounted orthogonally at both ends to measure the forces in the IL and CF directions. The IL and CF displacements of the cylinder were measured by two potentiometers. All measured signals were sampled at a frequency of 400 Hz.

This apparatus was first designed and applied by [2].

**Orbits**

Forced motion VIV experiments have been carried out extensively in the past several decades. In most of these experiments harmonic motions were applied in CF and/or in IL directions. These harmonic motion can be expressed by:

$$x_{CF}(t) = A_{CF} \sin(\omega t)$$  \hspace{1cm} Eq. 1
$$x_{IL}(t) = A_{IL} \sin(\omega t + \phi)$$  \hspace{1cm} Eq. 2

However, measured motions from flexible beam VIV tests are rarely harmonic, due to the stochastic nature of VIV response. Higher order frequency components are often observed in the measured displacements of points on a flexible beam undergoing VIV. The CF and IL displacement time series can be expressed by Fourier series including a number of frequency components:

$$x_{IL/CF}(t) = \frac{a_{IL/CF,0}}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[ a_{IL/CF,i} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi t}{T}\right) + b_{IL/CF,i} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi t}{T}\right) \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} Eq. 3

At each frequency $\frac{2\pi}{T}$, the CF and IL motion can be expressed in a similar way as Eq. 1 and Eq. 2, more detail description of Eq. 3 can be found in [9].

In these forced motion VIV experiments, the forced motions were based on measured motions of selected cross sections of the flexible beam of the NDP high mode VIV model tests. In the NDP high mode VIV tests, a 38 m long flexible beam was towed in the Ocean Basin in MARINTEK. Uniform and sheared flows were generated by towing both or one end of the beam. Bending strains and accelerations along the model were recorded and applied to calculate displacements and curvature [3]. The key properties of NDP model tests are presented in Table 2.

The measured motions within selected time windows can be applied directly in forced motion VIV experiments, and this type of orbits are denoted ‘non-periodic observed orbits’. Periodic orbits are desired for identification of force coefficients, measured motions of two successive periods were smoothed to be closed (periodic) orbits, and this type of orbits are denoted ‘periodic observed orbits’. This process
is illustrated in Figure 2 [6][7][8].

Table 2  Physical properties of pipe model in NDP high mode VIV tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Dimension</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total length between pinned ends</td>
<td>38.00 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer diameter</td>
<td>27 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall thickness of pipe</td>
<td>3.0 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bending stiffness, EI</td>
<td>37.2 Nm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young modulus for pipe, E</td>
<td>3.62·10¹⁰ N/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial stiffness, EA</td>
<td>5.09·10⁶ N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass (air filled), measured</td>
<td>0.761 kg/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass (water filled) estimated relevant for VIV tests</td>
<td>0.933 kg/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-tension</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2 Illustration of application of measured non-periodic and periodic orbits in forced motion VIV tests.

Scaling

Reynolds number scaling was used between the NDP high mode VIV tests and the present forced motion VIV experiments. The Reynolds number is defined as:

\[ \text{Re} = \frac{U D}{v} \]  Eq. 4

where \( U \) is the current/towing velocity, \( v \) is the kinematic viscosity and \( D \) is the diameter.

In addition, the amplitude ratio \( A/D \) in both IL and CF directions and non-dimensional oscillation frequency were kept the same. The non-dimensional oscillation frequency is defined as:

\[ \tilde{f} = \frac{f_{osc} D}{U} \]  Eq. 5

where \( f_{osc} \) is the oscillation frequency.

Data acquisition and sampling

The effective length of the MC-Lab towing tank is 20 m. Each rigid cylinder forced motion test lasted between 50 s and 4 min, depending on the towing velocity. The data sampling frequency was 400 Hz. The beginning and the end of the time series were always removed when calculating hydrodynamic coefficients to eliminate transient effects.

DATA ANALYSIS

Drag coefficient

The drag coefficient is defined by the mean force in the IL direction:

\[ C_D = \frac{\bar{F}_{DL}}{\frac{1}{2} \rho D U^2} \]  Eq. 6

Excitation coefficient

The dynamic excitation coefficient of each harmonic component \( \omega_n \) is defined as:

\[ C_{e,\omega_n} = \frac{\frac{2}{\pi D \rho U^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_{\omega_n} \tau x_{\omega_n} \tau \, d\tau \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2 \rho D L U^2}}{\omega_n} \]  Eq. 7

where \( x_{\omega_n} \) and \( F_{\omega_n} \) are the filtered displacement and filtered hydrodynamic force time series respectively. Both of them have a frequency bandwidth around the \( n \)th order frequency component \( \omega_n \). \( x_{\omega_n} \) is the amplitude of motion at frequency component \( \omega_n \).

This force coefficient defines the energy transfer between fluid and cylinder for each harmonic component present in the time series. A positive coefficient value means that energy is transferred from the fluid to the cylinder, while a negative coefficient indicates energy dissipation through hydrodynamic damping.

Added mass coefficient

The added mass coefficients define the harmonic component of the hydrodynamic force in phase with the respective acceleration components, and are defined as:

\[ C_{\omega_n} = \frac{\pi D^2}{4 \rho L \omega_n^2} \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_{\omega_n} \tau x_{\omega_n} \tau \, d\tau}{\omega_n^2} \]  Eq. 8
IL PREDICTION FOR COMBINED IL & CF VIV

IL VIV response is significantly larger in combined IL & CF VIV than in pure IL VIV, and it leads to considerable fatigue damage, which can be as much as the fatigue damage due to CF response, or even higher. Pure IL excitation coefficients are not valid to predict IL in combination with CF response.

Excitation coefficients

The default IL excitation coefficients in VIVANA 4.8 for combined IL and CF responses are presented as a contour plot in Figure 3. This database is based on the results from the PhD work of Soni [4], and is updated based on comparisons with the the Bearman and Chaplin model tests [11][12]. The coefficients should still be considered as preliminary. It is seen that the excitation region has non-dimensional frequency range from 0.25 to 0.55, the maximum excitation coefficient is around 0.5, the maximum amplitude ratio with zero excitation coefficient is 0.2.

![Figure 3 Default IL coefficients for a combination of CF and IL responses in VIVANA 4.8.](image)

Figure 4 shows the IL coefficients obtained from forced motion VIV experiments using realistic periodic orbits from the NDP high mode VIV model tests.

Unlike the default IL excitation coefficient database, there are two excitation regions in the excitation coefficients from the present study. In the 1st instability region, $\hat{f}$ ranges from 0.62 to 0.91 and has a maximum $A_{IL}/D$ of approximately 0.15 at approximately $\hat{f} = 0.75$. The 2nd instability region ranges from 0.2 to 0.5 and has a maximum $A_{IL}/D$ of approximately 0.15 at approximately $\hat{f} = 0.4$. These two regions are equivalent to those found by [2], which are results from pure IL VIV experiments.

This study also found a third instability region around the amplitude ratio of 0.25. These amplitudes are not present for the pure IL response, but they are often found for the combined IL and CF cases. The results are hence realistic and useful to identify the excitation coefficients for the IL response in combination with the CF response.

![Figure 4 IL coefficients obtained from forced motion VIV experiments using realistic orbits from NDP high mode VIV model tests.](image)

In addition, the 2nd instability region is much wider, and there is a large gap between the two regions. Both regions have a much larger amplitude ratio in the IL direction. This high $A_{IL}/D$ also appears in the IL excitation coefficient contour plot of [5]. The differences between the contour plots from pure IL experiments and the current study indicate that the CF component has a strong influence on the forces in the IL direction.

However, the coefficients shown in Figure 4 can not be directly used in VIVANA. The built-in excitation coefficient in VIVANA is defined as a function of amplitude ratio for a given non-dimensional frequency, see the solid black curve in Figure 5. This curve is composed of two 2nd order polynomial AB and BC, which are defined by three points A, B and C [5]:

![Figure 5 Black: The IL excitation coefficient curve at single non-dimensional frequency in VIVANA [5].](image)

- Point A gives the excitation coefficient value when response amplitude ratio equals to zero, $C_{e,IL} A/D = 0$.
Point B is defined by the maximum excitation coefficient, $C_{e,IL} = \text{max}$, and corresponding amplitude ratio $(A/D)_{C_{e,IL}=\text{max}}$. Note that both polynomials have zero gradients at this point.

Point C defines the $A/D$ value when excitation coefficient equal to zero, $(A/D)_{C_{e,IL}=0}$. This amplitude ratio corresponds to the amplitude ratios along the zero contour lines in Figure 3.

In order to define the excitation coefficient curve such as presented in Figure 5, the coefficients in Figure 4 were simplified and smoothed. The modified coefficients used in this paper are presented as contour plot in Figure 6.

![Figure 6 Modified IL coefficients obtained from forced motion VIV experiments using realistic orbits from NDP high mode VIV model tests.](image)

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

In this paper, combined IL and CF VIV analysis were performed by using the semi-empirical VIV prediction software VIVANA 4.8 [5]. The consecutive frequency (time sharing) response option is chosen.

Key VIV prediction results are generated from VIVANA using both the default and the updated IL coefficients, and compared to the experimental results. The other parameters and settings are kept identical, in order to see the performance of the updated IL coefficients. For the same reason, the VIV responses in CF will not be discussed in this paper.

Comparisons are made on selected cases of the NDP high mode VIV tests. The selected tests for comparison are listed in Table 4, seven sheared flow cases and seven uniform flow cases. Among them, the six tests whose orbits were used in the forced motion VIV experiments are marked.

![Table 3 Selected tests from the NDP High Mode VIV tests for comparison.](image)

**Dominating mode**

The dominating IL response modes are shown in Figure 7 (shear flow) and Figure 8 (uniform flow). Note that the number of data points is the same for each series, but 'VIVANA 4.8' and 'VIVANA Updated' predict the same mode number for some cases, where the data points of 'VIVANA Updated' are on top of 'VIVANA 4.8' data points, so that it looks like there are fewer data points for the latter. For the experiments, the mode is estimated from the shape of the displacement standard deviation. For the VIVANA analyses, the mode number corresponding to the response frequency with the largest responses reported. For both shear and uniform flow case, VIVANA slightly over-predict the dominating mode. This over-prediction is larger for uniform flow cases than the sheared flow cases, especially when the towing speed is high.

When performing combined IL and CF VIV analysis, VIVANA first find the dominating CF response frequency by iteration until the response frequency is consistent with the updated CF added mass coefficient. The response frequency with the highest ranking factor is assumed to be the dominating response frequency and assigned the largest relative duration.

The IL added mass is then adjusted in order to obtain a dominating IL response frequency which is exactly twice the dominating CF response frequency. The same IL response frequency will be found regardless of whether the default or the updated IL excitation coefficients are used, since the CF excitation and added mass coefficients are identical. The slight difference of one mode number for five of the fourteen cases is due to that the target double frequency falls in between two initial natural frequencies and that VIVANA select one instead of the other.
The responses of the flexible pipe in the NDP high mode VIV tests were reconstructed using the strain and acceleration measurements [3]. Standard deviation of the IL displacement was calculated along the pipe, and the maximum value of the IL displacement standard deviation is compared with the VIVANA calculation.

The comparison for the shear flow cases is shown in Figure 9, and the comparison for the uniform flow cases is shown in Figure 10. Generally, VIVANA underestimates the standard deviation of IL displacements for both shear and uniform flow cases. For shear flow cases, VIVANA using the updated excitation coefficients improve the prediction and gives less non-conservative predictions.

There is a difference between the shear flow cases and the uniform flow cases. Assume that the response frequency is the same along the pipe. For shear flow cases, the non-dimensional frequency varies along the pipe and the excitation region is easy to determine. However, for uniform flow cases, the entire pipe has the same non-dimensional frequency, which makes it more challenging to determine the excitation region and damping region. For example, non-symmetric responses are observed for uniform flow cases, while it is not obvious to predict which side the excitation region will be on.

It is seen from Figure 10 that the predicted results from VIVANA using the updated coefficients exhibit more scattering. To investigate it, the non-dimensional frequencies of all uniform flow cases are plotted in the contour plot of the updated IL coefficients, see Figure 11. All the uniform flow cases are seen to have non-dimensional frequencies range from 0.23 to 0.34, in the region where two excitation regions with different amplitude ranges were found from the experiments.
with measured orbits. The modified (smoothed) excitation curves used in the VIVANA analyses are therefore more uncertain in this region.

![IL excitation coefficient contour plot](image)

**Figure 11 Non-dimensional frequencies of uniform flow cases in the modified IL excitation coefficient contour plot.**

**IL stress**

The micro-strain of the flexible pipe in the NDP high mode VIV tests were measured in both IL and CF directions. Standard deviation of the IL stress was calculated along the pipe [3], and the mean value of the standard deviation IL stress is compared with the VIVANA calculation. The reason to compare the mean values instead of maximum values is due to that only the mean values from experimental measurements are available.

As the stresses from the experiments, include higher order components with VIVANA does not attempt to include, some discrepancy between experimental and predicted stress must be expected.

The comparison of shear flow cases is shown in Figure 12, and the comparison of uniform flow cases is shown in Figure 13.

For the shear flow cases in Figure 12, the default IL excitation coefficients give non-conservative stress compared to the experimental results. Using the updated coefficients, the predicted IL stress increases to about twice the default, and matches the experimental measurements for the cases with towing speed from 0.5 m/s to 1.2 m/s.

![Spatial average of standard deviation of IL stress, shear flow cases.](image)

**Figure 12 Spatial average of standard deviation of IL stress, shear flow cases.**

For the uniform flow cases in Figure 13, both default and updated coefficients give conservative IL stress, compared to the experimental measurements. The difference between the prediction using the two sets of coefficients is small. The predicted mean standard deviations of stress with updated coefficients are slightly higher than with the default coefficients, which indicates results are more conservative.

![Spatial average of standard deviation of IL stress, uniform flow cases.](image)

**Figure 13 Spatial average of standard deviation of IL stress, uniform flow cases.**

In general, by using updated IL coefficients in combined IL and CF VIV predictions, the predicted IL responses are improved significantly compare to the default coefficients.
CONCLUSIONS

A series of forced motion experiments were conducted in MC-Lab of NTNU, Trondheim. Different from conventional harmonic motions, periodic and non-periodic measured motions from the NDP high mode VIV tests were used in the experiment. Hydrodynamic coefficients were extracted and the IL excitation coefficients are used in this study.

The phase angle between IL and CF motions and higher motion components are not explicitly included in the coefficient database, but are implicitly included when the forced motion experiments were carried out.

A semi-empirical VIV prediction tool is used in this study to carry out combined IL and CF VIV analysis. Both the default IL excitation coefficients and the updated IL coefficients were used, and predicted results were compared to experimental measurements.

Comparison is made for selected NDP high mode VIV tests, including both the cases from which the orbits applied in the forced motion tests were taken from, and other cases. The comparison shows that by using the updated IL coefficients, the IL responses of combined IL and CF VIV response are predicted better, with regard to the conservation of the standard deviation of displacement and stress.

This study suggests the updated coefficients are applicable in combined IL and CF VIV prediction and shows that the forced motion experiments using measured orbits are effective methods for obtaining excitation coefficients.

Future work could be:
- Enrich hydrodynamic coefficient database
- Optimize the updated coefficient database
- Calibrate/optimize the updated coefficient database against other flexible model tests
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