

## Adsorption of crude oil components at mineral surfaces followed by quartz crystal micro-balance and contact angle measurements: The effect of oil composition, simulated weathering and dispersants

Umer Farooq, Meysam Nourani, Flavien Ivol, Anne Bjerke Årrestad, and Gisle Øye

*Energy Fuels*, **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/acs.energyfuels.8b03084 • Publication Date (Web): 01 Mar 2019

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on March 8, 2019

### Just Accepted

“Just Accepted” manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides “Just Accepted” as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. “Just Accepted” manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. “Just Accepted” manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). “Just Accepted” is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the “Just Accepted” Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the “Just Accepted” Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these “Just Accepted” manuscripts.

This document is the unedited Author's version of a Submitted Work that was subsequently accepted for publication in *Energy Fuels* (2019), 33, 3, 2359-2365, copyright © American Chemical Society after peer review. To access the final edited see <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.8b03084>



# Adsorption of Crude Oil Components at Mineral Surfaces followed by Quartz Crystal Microbalance and Contact Angle Measurements: The Effect of Oil Composition, Simulated Weathering and Dispersants

Umer Farooq<sup>†,\*</sup>, Meysam Nourani<sup>‡</sup>, Flavien Ivol<sup>‡</sup>, Anne Bjerke Årrestad<sup>‡</sup> and Gisle Øye<sup>‡,\*</sup>

<sup>†</sup> SINTEF Ocean, Department of Environment and New Resources, Trondheim-Norway

<sup>‡</sup> Ugelstad Laboratory, Department of Chemical Engineering, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), Trondheim, Norway

\*Corresponding authors: [gisle.oye@chemeng.ntnu.no](mailto:gisle.oye@chemeng.ntnu.no); [umer.farooq@sintef.no](mailto:umer.farooq@sintef.no)

## ABSTRACT:

Improved knowledge of interactions between crude oil and solid surfaces is of great importance for understanding oil spill responses as well as oil spill behaviour on land and in the near shore environment. Here, the goal was to study how crude oils with various physicochemical properties interacted with model shoreline surfaces. In addition, the influence of simulated weathering and addition of dispersant was investigated for selected crude oils. A quartz crystal microbalance was used to follow the adsorption from 13 different crude oils on silica, aluminosilicate and calcium carbonated surfaces, while the corresponding wettability alterations were followed by contact angle measurements. The polar crude oil components adsorbed in considerably higher amounts on the calcium carbonate surfaces than on the silica and aluminosilicate surfaces. The simulated weathering of oils resulted in increased adsorption onto both the silica and aluminosilicate surface, while it had little effect on the calcium carbonate surface. The presence of dispersants generally reduced the amounts adsorbed on the surfaces. In the presence of seawater, the crude oil with higher total acid number interacted strongest with the calcium carbonate surface.

**Key words:** Oil spills, Shoreline surfaces, Quartz crystal microbalance, Wettability, Adsorption, Crude oil components

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Marine oil spills have become more frequent over the last few decades as offshore oil production and transport have increased<sup>1,2</sup>. In 2010, the world experienced the largest offshore oil spill in history when BP's Deepwater Horizon had a blowout causing more than 4 million barrels of crude oil entering the Gulf of Mexico<sup>3,4</sup>. Oil tankers carrying millions of gallons of oil can also pose a significant threat to the marine environment in the event of collisions or grounding<sup>5</sup>. If oil is spilled at sea, the objective of the response is to prevent the oil from reaching the shoreline. This is mainly due to more difficult cleanup processes of shorelines compared to cleanup and containment at sea<sup>6</sup>. If the oil becomes deposited or stranded on the shoreline, however, the choice of cleanup process should as far as possible be based on the behavior of the oil. Multiple factors can influence this behavior, including the physicochemical properties of the crude oil, the type of shoreline, water salinity and turbulence in the sea. Furthermore, the properties of crude oils might change after spillage due to physical and biological processes, referred to as weathering<sup>7</sup>. Fresh oils have been found to be less adhesive to shorelines than weathered oils, while light oils are considered less adhesive than heavier oils<sup>8,9</sup>.

The application of oil spill dispersants is an important part of the "toolbox" for responding to oil spills. Typically, the dispersants are sprayed on oil slicks at the surface, to break the oil into small droplets and accelerate the dispersion into the water column<sup>10</sup>. In this way the oil becomes removed from the surface of the sea and is more available for biodegradation by naturally occurring microorganisms<sup>11</sup>. Parameters affecting the performance of dispersants include their amount, mixing energy, crude oil properties, temperature and salinity of the water. Furthermore, changes in physical and chemical properties of the oil will influence how well dispersants interact with the oil. One example is decreased efficiency of dispersants for highly viscous oils, explained

1  
2  
3 by inhibited transport of surfactants to the oil-water interface and thereby increased energy  
4  
5 requirements to break up droplets from the oil slick <sup>12</sup>.  
6  
7

8  
9  
10 Crude oils are complex mixtures of saturated, unsaturated and aromatic compounds that contain  
11  
12 various fractions of metals and heteroatoms such as nitrogen, sulphur and oxygen <sup>13, 14</sup>. The  
13  
14 detailed composition depends on the geological formation where the oil is found and varies from  
15  
16 field to field and even from well to well <sup>8</sup>. The unique physiochemical properties for each oil  
17  
18 make it crucial to understand how they will behave on the surface of the sea and interact with  
19  
20 shorelines to evaluate possible oil spill responses and the effects on the environment <sup>15</sup>.  
21  
22  
23

24  
25  
26 Understanding of the interactions between crude oil components and mineral surfaces can be a  
27  
28 useful way to improve knowledge of the shoreline behavior of oils <sup>16, 17</sup>. Such interactions can  
29  
30 generally occur through four mechanisms <sup>18, 19</sup>: (1) Polar interactions resulting in adsorption in  
31  
32 the absence of water. (2) Surface precipitation if the oil becomes a poor solvent for its  
33  
34 asphaltenes. (3) Acid/base interactions in the presence of water. (4) Ion-binding interactions,  
35  
36 where multivalent cations will bind charged compounds present at the oil/water interface to  
37  
38 oppositely charged sites at the mineral surfaces. All these mechanisms can lead to wettability  
39  
40 alterations. Several authors have reported that small amounts of asphaltenes and resins in the  
41  
42 crude oil can make originally water-wet surfaces more oil wet <sup>20, 21</sup>. The importance of  
43  
44 asphaltenes was emphasized by observations that de-asphalted crude oil did not exhibit  
45  
46 adhesion<sup>18</sup>. Acidic and basic components of crude oil have also been found important for  
47  
48 wettability alterations <sup>22-24</sup>. Furthermore, the quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) technique has  
49  
50 been introduced as a useful method of studying adsorption of crude oil components on various  
51  
52 surfaces <sup>25-29</sup>.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Contact angle measurements are widely used to evaluate wetting properties of liquids on solid  
4 surfaces. When a drop of liquid is deposited on the solid surface, an angle  $\theta$  is formed.  
5

6  
7 If this angle is less than  $90^\circ$ , the liquid is wetting the surface. This method has been applied to  
8 study the interactions between oil and various surfaces<sup>30-33</sup>.  
9

10  
11  
12  
13  
14 The purpose of this work was to investigate how model shoreline surfaces interacted with crude  
15 oils with different physicochemical properties. Silica, aluminosilicate and calcium carbonate  
16 were chosen as model surfaces to represent abundant shoreline minerals. A quartz crystal  
17 microbalance with dissipation monitoring (QCM-D) was used to follow the adsorption of  
18 components from 13 crude oils onto these surfaces, while the corresponding wettability  
19 alterations were followed by contact angle measurements. The influence of simulated weathering  
20 (i.e. evaporation loss of light hydrocarbon components by distillation) and addition of dispersant  
21 on selected crude oils was also investigated.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1. Crude Oils

Crude oils were selected based on their different physical and chemical properties. Generally, crude oils are divided into four main categories i.e. asphaltic, naphthenic, paraffinic and waxy crude oils. Thirteen crude oils denoted A-M were selected from all four categories and were further investigated. The total acid number (TAN), total base number (TBN), asphaltene content, wax content, density and viscosity of the oils were determined according to standard procedures<sup>34-39</sup>.

The physicochemical properties of the investigated crude oils are listed in Table 1. The total acid number (TAN) was low for most oils, ranging from 0.1 to 0.4 mg/g. Only crude oil A and crude oils G had significantly higher acid content of 2.1 and 1.1 mg/g, respectively. Overall, the total base numbers (TBN) were higher than the acid numbers. When detectable, TBN varied between 1.0 and 3.2 mg/g for most oils, while crude oil D had a significantly higher base content (7.1 mg/g). This oil also had the highest asphaltene content (6.6 wt%), while it was lower than 1.8 wt% for the other oils. The wax content varied from 1.1 to 4.6 wt%. Both viscosity and density were considerably higher for crude oil A (708 mPas and 0.94 g/ml) and crude oil D (867 mPas and 0.93 g/ml). The remaining densities and viscosities of the oils were lower than 0.90 g/ml and 64 mPas, respectively.

### 2.2. Evaporation of Crude Oils

Four crude oils (A, F, H and L) were treated to simulate the evaporation loss of lighter crude oil components during 0.5-1 day of weathering on the sea surface. The evaporation was carried out

1  
2  
3 as a simple one-step distillation at vapour temperatures of 200°C<sup>40</sup>. The residues were referred to  
4  
5 as weathered fractions and the physicochemical properties were determined as above.  
6  
7  
8  
9

### 10 **2.3. Addition of Dispersant**

11  
12 A dispersant (Corexit® 9500A) was mixed with the selected crude oils and weathered fractions  
13  
14 in dispersant to oil weight ratio (DOR) of 1:100. Corexit® 9500A (NALCO Environmental  
15  
16 Solutions LLC, USA) contains a mixture of nonionic (48%) and anionic (35%) surfactants and at  
17  
18 breaking wave sea conditions, DOR of 1:100 or less can be adequate for effective dispersion<sup>8</sup>.  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

### 24 **2.4. Quartz Crystal Microbalance (QCM) Measurements**

25  
26 Principle:

27  
28 The dissipative quartz crystal microbalance (QCM-D) measures simultaneously changes in  
29  
30 resonance frequency and dissipation of an oscillating quartz crystal. The quartz crystal is  
31  
32 sandwiched between two electrodes, where one is coated with a thin surface film. Upon  
33  
34 application of AC voltage, the crystal vibrates with a characteristic frequency which changes  
35  
36 when the oscillating crystal is brought in contact with solutions. This change in frequency can be  
37  
38 related to the following factors: (1) mass loading (2) liquid loading and (3) liquid trapping. The  
39  
40 mass of the adhering layer can be determined by the Sauerbrey equation:  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

$$47 \Delta f_{ads} = -\frac{2nf_0^2 \Delta m}{\rho_q v_q A} = -\frac{n\Delta m}{C} \quad (1)$$

48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 where  $f_o$  is the fundamental resonance frequency ( $5 \times 10^6$  Hz),  $n$  is the number of overtone,  $\Delta m$  is  
4  
5 the adsorbed mass,  $A$  is the active area of the crystal ( $0.785 \text{ cm}^2$ ),  $\rho_q$  is the specific density of  
6  
7 quartz ( $2650 \text{ kg/m}^3$ ),  $v_q$  is the shear wave velocity in quartz ( $3340 \text{ m/s}$ ), and  $C$  is a characteristic  
8  
9 quartz crystal constant ( $17.7 \text{ ng Hz}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  for a 5 MHz crystal).  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14

#### 15 Procedures:

16  
17 A QCM-Z500 (KSV, Helsinki, Finland) was used to study the adsorption of crude oil  
18  
19 components onto quartz crystal surfaces coated with silica, aluminosilicate and calcium  
20  
21 carbonate. The adsorption was carried out from solutions where crude oils and weathered  
22  
23 fractions (with and without dispersant) were diluted to 10 wt% in toluene (VWR, 99.5 %). To  
24  
25 ensure homogenous mixing and dissolution, the solutions were placed on a vibrator for 1 hour,  
26  
27 and then stored in a dark, cold place for future use. The dilution of the crude oils eliminated any  
28  
29 density and viscosity effects and emphasized the variation of the chemical components during the  
30  
31 adsorption experiments.  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37

38  
39 Prior to each measurement contamination was removed from the crystal surfaces by rinsing with  
40  
41 excess toluene (VWR, 98%) followed by ion-exchanged water (MQ water,  $\Omega = 18.2 \text{ M}\Omega\cdot\text{cm}$ ,  
42  
43 Millipore Simplicity System). Then the crystals were blow-dried with air and placed in an  
44  
45 aqueous 2wt % sodium dodecyl sulfate solution for 30 minutes. For the calcium carbonate  
46  
47 crystals, the sodium dodecyl sulfate solution was replaced by toluene. All the crystals were then  
48  
49 rinsed with MQ water and dried with air. The dry crystals were treated by ozone for 5-10 minutes  
50  
51 in a UV chamber, rinsed with excess MQ water and blow dried with air. The QCM chamber and  
52  
53 connecting tubes were rinsed with toluene prior to each measurement. Before starting an  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 experiment, the resistance across the clean and dry crystal in absence of liquid was measured to  
4  
5 about 20 Ohm.  
6  
7

8  
9  
10 Initially the chamber was flushed with pure toluene to obtain a stable baseline. The signal was  
11  
12 considered stable when the fluctuation was less than  $\pm 5$  Hz for half an hour, and this baseline was  
13  
14 set to 0 Hz. Next, 10 wt% oil solution (6 ml) was injected by gravitational flow into the  
15  
16 measurement chamber, via a temperature loop. The solution was kept in the temperature loop for  
17  
18 300 seconds before exposing it to the crystal surface in the measurement chamber for 10 minutes.  
19  
20 The flow conditions remained static while exposing the crystal surface to diluted oil. This  
21  
22 procedure was repeated four times in order to ensure saturation of the crystal surface. Finally,  
23  
24 toluene was flushed three times over the crystal with relatively high flow rate. The temperature  
25  
26 was kept at  $25 \pm 0.1$  °C. The third overtone of the fundamental resonance frequency was used in  
27  
28 the analysis.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

35 Figure 1 shows a representative example of how the frequency (A) and dissipation (B) changed  
36  
37 from the baseline upon exposure of the crystal surface (silica) to diluted oil (crude oil-A)  
38  
39 followed by flushing with toluene. An immediate drop in resonance frequency was seen when the  
40  
41 surface was exposed to the diluted oil, indicating rapid adsorption of the surface-active  
42  
43 components. The repeated injections did not alter the frequency significantly, indicating  
44  
45 saturation of the crystal surface. Rinsing by toluene resulted in an increase in the frequency  
46  
47 before stabilization, which showed that weakly bound components were removed. The  
48  
49 corresponding dissipation factor stabilized after rinsing, which confirmed that the remaining  
50  
51 components formed a rigid layer at the crystal surface. Then, the irreversibly adsorbed mass was  
52  
53 calculated by the Sauerbrey equation. The reproducibility of the experiments was checked by  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 repeating some of the experiments three time and the calculated masses were differ with the  
4  
5 standard error of ~10%.  
6  
7  
8  
9

## 10 **2.5. Contact Angle Measurements**

### 11 2.5.1. Air – Water - Mineral Contact Angles

12  
13 Contact angle measurements were performed on crystals after completing the adsorption  
14  
15 measurements using an Optical Contact Angle Meter with a high-speed camera (CAM 200, KSV  
16  
17 Instruments). A drop of water (3wt% NaCl in MQ-water) was placed at the coated crystal surface  
18  
19 by a Hamilton syringe and images were captured. The contact angles were determined by fitting  
20  
21 the Young-Laplace equation to the drop profile. The standard error was less than 5%.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

### 28 2.5.2. Water – Oil - Mineral Contact Angles

29  
30 Contact angle measurements of some of the original crude oils (without dilution) were performed  
31  
32 directly on the pure crystal surfaces immersed in synthetic seawater. The crystal surfaces were  
33  
34 washed following the same procedure as described above. Then the crystals were equilibrated in  
35  
36 a cuvette with synthetic seawater at pH 7.8 for 24 hours. The ionic composition of the synthetic  
37  
38 sea-water is given in Table 2. An oil drop (not diluted) was placed on the crystal surface when  
39  
40 immersed in the aqueous phase. Images were taken by a drop shape analyzer (DSA 100, KRÜSS  
41  
42 GmbH Germany). The reported contact angles were determined after 30 minutes, when the drop  
43  
44 size did not change significantly. At least four measurements were performed for each system  
45  
46 and standard error was less than 5%.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

The amounts of adsorbed components at the mineral surfaces and the corresponding alteration of the wettability depended on both the crude oils and the surface composition, Figure 2. The adsorption was generally lowest on the silica surfaces, higher on the aluminosilicate surfaces and highest on the calcium carbonate surfaces, which is in agreement with other reports<sup>29, 41, 42</sup>. The crude oils with the highest asphaltene contents (A, B, D, and E) adsorbed highly at all the surfaces. In addition, crude oil M, which had relatively low asphaltene content but high base content, resulted in high adsorption. Figure 3 shows an increasing trend in adsorbed amounts with both asphaltene content and total base number. This suggested that much of the basic functionalities in the crude oils were present in the asphaltene fractions that adsorbed at the surfaces. The total acid number did not vary systematically with the adsorption or contact angles. Since most of the oils have low acid numbers, any influence of those components on the adsorption would depend more on the molecular structure associated with the acidic groups rather than their quantity. The wax content did not affect the adsorption nor the contact angles, likely due to lack of interfacial activity.

The contact angles prior to adsorption were  $18 \pm 2^\circ$  for the silica surface,  $15 \pm 2^\circ$  for the aluminosilicate surface and  $55 \pm 5^\circ$  for the calcium carbonate surface. In all cases, adsorption reduced the water wettability of the surfaces, Figure 2. For the silica and aluminosilicate surfaces, the contact angles generally increased when the amount of adsorbed components increased. However, some opposite trends were also observed which indicated the selective adsorption of crude oil components on the mineral surfaces e.g. crude oil I was adsorbed low on silica surface compared to aluminosilicate surface but on the contrary contact angle was close to 100 degree on silica surface and only 40 degree on aluminosilicate surface. This showed that smaller but more

1  
2  
3 hydrophobic fraction was adsorbed on silica and larger but more hydrophilic fraction was  
4  
5 adsorbed on aluminosilicate surface. However, more investigations are required to verify this  
6  
7 fact. At the calcium carbonate surfaces, the contact angles remained within approximately 60 to  
8  
9 80 degrees, independent of the adsorbed amount. This might indicate a different adsorption  
10  
11 mechanism for the latter.  
12  
13  
14  
15

16  
17 Asphaltenes are polydisperse compounds with an average molecular weight around 750 g/mol,  
18  
19 with a factor two in molecular weight distribution<sup>43</sup>. Recent studies indicate that the dominant  
20  
21 asphaltene structure consists of 6-7 fused rings constituting an polyaromatic core with alkyl  
22  
23 chains attached<sup>44, 45</sup>. The polarity of the asphaltenes is provided by N, O and S present in the  
24  
25 structure in form of functional groups such as carbonyls, carboxyls, alcohols, phenols, amines  
26  
27 and sulfoxides<sup>14, 46</sup>. The silica surfaces are covered by polar, weakly acidic silanol (Si-OH)  
28  
29 groups, which acted as adsorption sites for the polar crude oil components. Hence, the primary  
30  
31 adsorption mechanism on silica was polar interactions. Pradilla et al. showed that carboxylic  
32  
33 groups were important for the adsorption of model asphaltene compounds at silica, while it was  
34  
35 less important for crude oil asphaltenes<sup>42</sup>. Furthermore, Tabrizy et al. demonstrated that a model  
36  
37 amine surfactant had high affinity for quartz and kaolinite surfaces<sup>41</sup>. Hence, it can be suggested  
38  
39 that amine functionalities at the asphaltenes played an important role in the polar interactions,  
40  
41 which is consistent with Figure 3 and similar observations by others<sup>47</sup>. Furthermore, Van der  
42  
43 Waals and  $\pi - \pi$  interactions were anticipated to contribute. The aluminosilicate surfaces  
44  
45 contained *Lewis* acidic aluminol (Al-OH) functional groups in addition to the *Brønsted* acidic  
46  
47 silanol groups. This would allow for additional interactions with *Lewis* basic functional groups,  
48  
49 such as amino-nitrogen and sulfoxide-oxygen, in the asphaltenes<sup>48</sup>, and can account for the  
50  
51 higher amount of adsorbed oil components generally observed at these surfaces. The CaCO<sub>3</sub>  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 surface was considerably less hydrophilic than the other surfaces. It has also been shown that  
4  
5 carboxylic groups have more prominent contributions to the polar interactions between  
6  
7 asphaltenes and CaCO<sub>3</sub> surfaces, compared to silica<sup>42</sup>. Furthermore, synergistic effects between  
8  
9 asphaltenes and acid surfactants have promoted oil wettability of CaCO<sub>3</sub> surfaces<sup>41</sup>. The high  
10  
11 adsorption on CaCO<sub>3</sub> observed here is probably due to synergies between asphaltenes and acidic  
12  
13 components and can thereby be attributed to contributions from both these factors.  
14  
15  
16  
17

18 The lack of relationship between contact angle and adsorbed amounts for calcium carbonate,  
19  
20 opposed to increasing contact angle with increasing adsorption for silica and aluminosilicate  
21  
22 surfaces, might imply differences in the orientation of asphaltenes in the two cases. A mix of  
23  
24 parallel and perpendicular packing of asphaltenes at surfaces have been suggested<sup>41</sup>, while  
25  
26 conformational changes of naphthenic acids from parallel to perpendicular orientation have been  
27  
28 shown for increasing amounts at silica and calcium carbonate surfaces<sup>29</sup>.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

34 Four of the crude oils (A, F, H and L) were exposed to simulated weathering. The  
35  
36 physicochemical properties of the weathered fractions are compared with the original values in  
37  
38 Table 3. The viscosity and density increased for all the crude oils upon weathering, while the  
39  
40 asphaltene fraction either remained the same or increased slightly. Other than for crude oil A, the  
41  
42 wax fraction increased in all cases after the simulated weathering. An increase in adsorption,  
43  
44 compared to the original crude oils, was also observed in most cases upon the simulated  
45  
46 weathering, Figure 4. This was most pronounced at the silica and aluminosilicate surfaces, where  
47  
48 the adsorbed mass increased by 36-262% on the silica surfaces and 28-65% on aluminosilicate  
49  
50 surfaces. In both cases the increase was largest for the waxy crude oil L and lowest for the  
51  
52 asphaltic crude oil A. At the calcium carbonate surfaces, the adsorption increased in the range of  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 0-28%, highest for the naphthenic crude oil F and lowest for the asphaltic crude oil A. An  
4  
5 exception was crude oil A, where the weathering reduced the adsorption. The reason for this is  
6  
7 not clear.  
8  
9

10  
11 It might be speculated that the increased adsorption on the silica and aluminosilicate was related  
12  
13 to the increased wax content upon the simulated weathering process. The evaporation of lighter  
14  
15 crude oil components changed the solubility conditions and might have promoted  
16  
17 adsorption/deposition of parts of the wax fractions. This is supported by the fact that the  
18  
19 simulated weathering had minor effects on the contact angles at the surfaces, Figure 5. If the  
20  
21 enhanced adsorption were due to asphaltenes, a more marked wettability alteration <sup>18</sup> might have  
22  
23 been anticipated.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

29 A dispersant was added to the original and simulated weathered fractions of the four crude oils.  
30  
31 Generally, the presence of dispersant reduced the amounts of adsorbed components from both the  
32  
33 original oils and weathered fractions, as seen in Figure 4. The reduction was most pronounced for  
34  
35 the two oils containing most polar components (i.e. crude oil A and H). This suggested that the  
36  
37 dispersant prevented adsorption of some of the crude oil components, either by stabilizing them  
38  
39 in the bulk or by occupying adsorption sites at the surfaces and thereby blocking adsorption of oil  
40  
41 components. Furthermore, the contact angles were reduced when the dispersant was present,  
42  
43 Figure 5. In most cases this reduction corresponded with reduced adsorption on the surfaces.  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

49 For comparison between non-aqueous and aqueous environments, contact angle measurements of  
50  
51 original crude oils A, F, H and L (without dilution) were performed on the pure mineral surface  
52  
53 immersed in synthetic seawater. As shown in Figure 6, the highest contact angles (i.e. most water  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58

1  
2  
3 wet conditions) were seen at the aluminosilicate surfaces for all the crude oils. The contact angles  
4  
5 were slightly lower at the silica surfaces and markedly lower (20-30 degrees; less water wet) at  
6  
7 the carbonate surface. This indicated that the interaction of crude oil components was strongest  
8  
9 with the calcium carbonate surface, in agreement with the adsorption results. Previous studies  
10  
11 have shown that the calcium carbonate surface is positively charged, while the silica and  
12  
13 aluminosilicate surfaces are negatively charged at pH 7.8 of the aqueous solution <sup>49</sup>. Furthermore,  
14  
15 dissociated acidic components often lower the interfacial tension at these conditions <sup>50</sup>, which  
16  
17 will provide a negatively charged crude-oil water interface. Consequently, the low contact angles  
18  
19 at the calcium surface was attributed to attraction between positively charged carbonate surfaces  
20  
21 and negatively charged crude oil-water interface, resulting in the least water wet conditions.  
22  
23  
24 Notably, crude oil A, which had highest TAN interacted strongest with the carbonate surface.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

#### 30 **4. CONCLUSIONS**

31  
32 The adsorption of crude oil components onto silica, aluminosilicate and calcium carbonate  
33  
34 surfaces were studied by the QCM method. The adsorption was attributed to interactions between  
35  
36 the polar oil components (asphaltenes as the most important) and hydroxyl groups at the mineral  
37  
38 surfaces. The adsorbed amounts varied between the crude oils, but for most oils the adsorption  
39  
40 followed the following trend:  $\text{CaCO}_3 > \text{AlSiO}_2 > \text{SiO}_2$ . Weathering of oils resulted in increased  
41  
42 adsorption onto the silica and aluminosilicate surfaces, possibly due to increased wax content, but  
43  
44 had no pronounced effect on the calcium carbonate surface. Addition of dispersant reduced the  
45  
46 adsorption of both the original crude oils and their weathered fractions. The adsorption was  
47  
48 generally accompanied with wettability alterations towards less water wet surfaces, but there  
49  
50 were no quantitative relationships between the adsorbed amount and contact angles. In an  
51  
52 aqueous environment, the crude oils interacted strongest with calcium carbonate surfaces.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Table 1. Physicochemical Properties of the Crude Oils**

Crude oil	TAN (mg KOH/g)	TBN (mg KOH/g)	Asphaltenes (wt%)	Wax (wt%)	Density (g/ml)	Viscosity (mPa·s) at 13°C
<b>A</b>	2.1	3.2	0.9	1.4	0.94	708
<b>B</b>	0.1	2.4	1.2	1.4	0.90	51
<b>C</b>	0.1	1.1	0.5	4.6	0.84	51
<b>D</b>	0.4	7.1	6.6	3.5	0.93	867
<b>E</b>	0.3	1.8	1.8	2.9	0.89	64
<b>F</b>	0.1	-	< 0.1	1.1	0.83	10
<b>G</b>	1.1	1.3	0.1	1.8	0.89	36
<b>H</b>	0.2	1.1	0.4	2.7	0.84	8
<b>I</b>	0.3	2.3	0.6	2.6	0.86	12
<b>J</b>	0.1	-	< 0.1	3.8	0.83	34
<b>K</b>	0.1	1.0	0.2	3.7	0.84	19
<b>L</b>	0.3	-	0.2	4.2	0.88	62
<b>M</b>	0.3	2.0	0.4	4.5	0.85	13



**Table 2. Composition of Synthetic Seawater**

Salt	g kg <sup>-1</sup> solution
Sodium chloride (NaCl)	23.926
Sodium sulfate (Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> )	4.008
Potassium chloride (KCl)	0.677
Sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO <sub>3</sub> )	0.196
Potassium bromide (KBr)	0.098
Boric acid (H <sub>3</sub> BO <sub>3</sub> )	0.026
Magnesium chloride (MgCl <sub>2</sub> ·6H <sub>2</sub> O)	10.831
Calcium chloride (CaCl <sub>2</sub> ·2H <sub>2</sub> O)	1.5188
Strontium chloride (SrCl <sub>2</sub> ·6H <sub>2</sub> O)	0.024






**Table 3. Physicochemical Properties of the Crude Oils and 200 °C+ Weathered Fractions**

Crude oil		Asphaltenes (wt%)	Wax (wt%)	Viscosity (mPas)	Density (g/mL)
A	Original	0.9	1.4	708	0.94
	200 °C+	1.0	1.4	1085	0.95
F	Original	< 0.1	1.1	10	0.83
	200 °C+	0.1	1.6	85	0.87
H	Original	0.4	2.7	8	0.84
	200 °C+	0.5	3.8	288	0.89
L	Original	0.2	4.2	62	0.88
	200 °C+	0.2	5.7	770	0.89





54 **Figure 1. Frequency shift (A) and dissipation shift (B) for a typical measurement sequence with**  
55 **adsorption of crude oil-A components on silica surface followed by flushing with toluene.**  
56



54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Figure 2. Contact angles plotted against adsorbed mass for silica (top), aluminosilicate (middle) and calcium carbonate (bottom) surfaces. The dotted lines are trend lines.**



46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Figure 3. Adsorbed amounts on aluminosilicate surfaces as a function of asphaltene content (A) and TBN (B). For clarity, sample D was not included in the plots. The dotted lines are trend lines.**




Figure 4. Amount of different oils adsorbed onto different mineral surfaces





Figure 5. Contact angle of seawater on different surfaces after adsorption of crude oils



**Figure 6. Contact angle of different crude oils on solid surfaces under synthetic seawater**

**REFERENCES**

- (1) Anderson, C. M.; LaBelle, R. P. *Spill Sci. Technol. Bull.* 2000, 6, 303-321..
- (2) National Research Council (NRC). *Oil in the sea III: Inputs, Fates and Effects*. National Academics Press, Washington, DC. 2003.
- (3) Camiill, R.; Reddy, C. M.; Yoerger, D. R.; Van Mooy, B. A. S.; Jakuba, M. V.; Kinsey, J. C.; McIntyre, C. P.; Sylva, S. P.; Maloney, J. V. *Science*, 2010, 330, 201–204..
- (4) Graham, W. M.; Condon, R. H.; Carmichael, R. H.; D'Ambra, I.; Patterson, H. K.; Linn, L. J.; Hernandez Jr, F.J. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 2010, 5, 045301..
- (5) Bandara, U. C.; Yapa, P. D.; Xie, H. J. *Hydro-environ. Res.* 2011, 5, 145–156..
- (6) EPA Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, *Shoreline Cleanup Of Oil Spills*. In *Understanding Oil Spills and Oil Spill Responce*, pages 17–20. 1999.
- (7) Lewis, A.; Daling, P. S.; Kristiansen, T. Strøm.; Nordvik, A. B.; Fiocco, R. J.; *Weathering and Chemical Dispersion of Oil at Sea*. International Oil Spill Conference Proceedings, American Petroleum Institute, Washington, DC, pp. 157–164. Feb-March 1995.
- (8) Fingas, M. *The Basics of Oil Spill Cleanup*; 3rd Edition, CRC Press, Taylor Francis Group: Boca Raton, 2013; pp 163-188..
- (9) Etkin, D. S.; French-McCay, D.; Michel, J. *Review of the State-Of-The-Art on Modeling Interactions between Spilled Oil and Shorelines for the Development of Algorithms for Oil Spill Risk Analysis Modeling.*, MMS U.S Department of the Interior. Environmental Research Consulting, Cortlandt Manor, New York, MMS Contract 0106PO39962. 2007.
- (10) Lessard, R. R.; DeMarco, G. *Spill Sci. Technol. Bull.* 2000, 6, 59–68..
- (11) Fiocco, R. J.; Lewis, A. *Pure Appl. Chem.* 1999, 71, 27–42.
- (12) Clayton, J. R.; Payne, J. R.; Farlow, J. S. *Oil Spill Dispersants - Mechanisms of Actions and Laboratort Tests*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1993; pp 14-44.
- (13) Klein, G. C.; Angström, A.; Rodgers, R. P.; Marshall, A. G. *Energy Fuels*. 2006, 20, 668-672..
- (14) Gawel, B.; Eftekhardakhah, M.; Øye, G. *Energ Fuels*. 2014, 28, 997–1003..
- (15) Fingas, M. *Introduction to Oil Chemistry and Properties*. In *Oil Spill Science and Technology*, Ed. 1; Elsevier Inc. UK, 2011; pp 51-59..



- 1  
2  
3 (16) Kanicky, J. R.; Lopez-Montilla, J-C.; Pandey, S.; Shah, D. O. Surface Chemistry in the Petroleum  
4 Industry. In Handbook of Applied Surface and Colloid Chemistry volume 1; John Wiley & Sons,  
5 Ltd: New York, 2011; pp 251-270.  
6  
7  
8 (17) Wang, W.; Zheng, Y.; Li, Z.; Lee, K. Chem. Eng. J. 2011, 170, 241-249..  
9  
10 (18) Buckley, J. S.; Liu, Y. J. Pet. Sci. Eng. 1998, 20, 155–160..  
11  
12 (19) Buckley, J. S. Evaluation of reservoir wettability and its effect on oil recovery, First Annual Report,  
13 Tulsa, OK, 1997.  
14  
15 (20) Buckley, J. S.; Liu, Y.; Monsterleet, S. SPE J. 1998, 3, 54–61..  
16  
17 (21) Alipour Tabrizy, V. A.; Denoyel, R.; Hamouda, A. A. Colloids Surf., A. 2011, 384, 98–108..  
18  
19 (22) Hadia, N. J.; Hansen, T.; Tweheyo, M. T.; Torsæter, O. Energy Fuels, 2012, 26 (7), 4328–4335.  
20  
21 (23) Hopkins, P. A.; Walrond, K.; Strand, S.; Puntervold, T.; Austad, T.; Wakwaya, A. Energy Fuels.  
22 2016, 30 (9), 7229–7235.  
23  
24 (24) Hutin, A.; Argillier, J-F.; Langevin, D. Oil Gas Sci. Technol.-Rec. IFP Energies nouvelles. 2016, 71,  
25 58.  
26  
27 (25) Farooq, U.; Sjöblom, J.; Øye, G. J. Dispersion Sci. Technol. 2011, 32, 1388-1395.  
28  
29 (26) Farooq, U.; Asif, N.; Tweheyo, M. T.; Sjöblom, J.; Øye, G. Energy Fuels. 2011, 25, 2058-2064.  
30  
31 (27) Ekholm, P.; Blomberg, E.; Claesson, P.; Auflem, I. H.; Sjöblom, J.; Kornfeldt, A. J. Colloid  
32 Interface Sci. 2002, 247, 342–350.  
33  
34 (28) Abudu, A.; Goual, L. Energ Fuels. 2009, 23, 1237-1248.  
35  
36 (29) Keleşoğlu, S.; Volden, S.; Kes, M.; Sjöblom, J., Energ Fuels. 2012, 26, 5060-5068..  
37  
38 (30) Grate, J. W.; Dehoff, K. J.; Warner, M. G.; Pittman, J. W.; Wietsma, T. W.; Zhang, C.; Oostrom, M.  
39 Langmuir. 2012, 28, 7182–.  
40  
41 (31) Jung, Y. C.; Bhushan, B. Langmuir. 2009, 25 (24), 14165–14173..  
42  
43 (32) Cremaldi, J. C.; Khosla, T.; Jin, K.; Cutting, D.; Wollman, K.; Pesika, N. Langmuir. 2015, 31,  
44 3385–3390.  
45  
46 (33) Broje, V.; Keller, A. A. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2006, 305, 286-292..  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 (34) American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). ASTM D664, Modified standard test method  
4 for Acid number of Petroleum products by Potentiometric Titration; ASTM International: West  
5 Conshohocken, PA, 1995..  
6  
7  
8 (35) American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). ASTM D2896, Standard test method for base  
9 number of Petroleum products by Potentiometric Titration; ASTM International: West  
10 Conshohocken, PA, 1995..  
11  
12 (36) Institute of Petroleum (IP). IP 143/90, Asphaltene (Heptane Insolubles) in Petroleum Products, in  
13 Standards for Petroleum and its Products, London, UK.  
14  
15  
16 (37) Bridie, A. L.; Wanders, T. H.; Zegveld, W. V.; den Heijde, H. B. *Marine Poll. Bull.* 1980, 11, 343-  
17 348.  
18  
19  
20 (38) American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). ASTM D4052-91, Standard Test Method for  
21 Density, Relative Density, and API Gravity of Liquids by Digital Density Meter, ASTM  
22 International, West Conshohocken, PA, 1991..  
23  
24 (39) McDonagh, M., Hokstad, J. N.; Nordvik, A. B. Standard procedure for viscosity measurement of  
25 water-in-oil emulsions. Marine Spill Response Corporation, Washington, DC. MRSC Technical  
26 Report Series 95-030. p. 36, 1995.  
27  
28  
29 (40) Mackay, D.; Zagorski, W. Studies of w/o emulsions, Report EE-34: Environment Canada, Ottawa,  
30 Ontario, 1982..  
31  
32  
33 (41) Tabrizy, V.A., Denoyel, R., Hamouda, A.A. *Coll. Surf. A.* 384, 2011, 98-108.  
34  
35 (42) Pradilla, D.; Subramanian, S.; Simon, S.; and Sjöblom, J. *Langmuir*, 32, 2016, 7294 - 7305.  
36  
37 (43) Groenzin, H. and Mullins, O.C. *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 103 (50), 1999, 11237–11245.  
38  
39 (44) Sharma, A.; Groenzin, H.; Tomita, A.; and Mullins, O.C. *Energy Fuels*, 2002, 16 (2), 490–496.  
40  
41 (45) Andrews, A.B.; Edwards, J.C.; Pomerantz, A.E.; Mullins, O.C.; Nordlund, D and Norinaga. K.  
42 *Energy Fuels*, 2011, 25 (7), 3068–3076.  
43  
44 (46) Nourani, M.; Tichelkamp, T.; Gawel, B.; Øye, G. *Fuel*, 2016, 180, 1-8.  
45  
46 (47) Dudášová, D.; Simon, S.; Hemmingsen, P.V.; Sjöblom, J. *Colloids and Surf. A*, 2008, 317, 1-9.  
47  
48 (48) Adams, J. J. *Energy Fuels*. 2014, 28 (5), 2831–2856.  
49  
50 (49) Farooq, U.; Tweheyo, M.T.; Sjöblom, J.; Øye, G. *J. Dispersion Sci. Technol.* 2011, 32, 519–531.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 (50) Farooq, U.; Simon, S.; Tweheyo, M. T.; Øye, G.; Sjöblom, J. J. *Dispersion Sci. Technol.* 2013, 34,  
4 701–708.  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60