

1 **Title:** Superchilled, chilled and frozen storage of Atlantic mackerel (*Scomber scombrus*) fillets – changes
2 in texture, drip loss, protein solubility and oxidation

3

4 **Authors:** Janna Crobotova¹, Revilija Mozuraityte², Inger Beate Standal², Magnhild Seim Grøvlén¹, Turid
5 Rustad¹

6

7 **Affiliations:**

8 ¹Department of Biotechnology and Food Science, Norwegian University of Science and Technology,
9 Trondheim, Norway

10 ²SINTEF Ocean, Trondheim, Norway

11

12 **Corresponding author:** Janna Crobotova

13 Department of Biotechnology and Food Science, Norwegian University of Science and Technology,
14 Trondheim, Norway

15

16 **Email:** janna.crobotova@ntnu.no

17

18

19

20

21

22 "This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: "Crobotova, Janna; Mozuraityte, Revilija; Standal, Inger
23 Beate; Grøvlén, Magnhild; Rustad, Turid - Superchilled, chilled and frozen storage of Atlantic mackerel (*Scomber
scombrus*) fillets – changes in texture, drip loss, protein solubility and oxidation. International journal of food
24 science & technology 2019 " which has been published in final form at [https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/
full/10.1111/ijfs.14136](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/ijfs.14136) This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and
Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions."

24

25 **Abstract**

26 Changes in quality characteristics in relation to protease activity and protein oxidation in chilled,
27 superchilled and frozen mackerel fillets during storage were studied. The solubility of sarcoplasmic proteins
28 was quite stable in mackerel samples for all storage experiments, whereas the solubility of myofibrillar
29 proteins decreased in both superchilled and frozen samples. A significant correlation ($r=0.983$, $p<0.05$)
30 between the increased activity of cathepsin B+L in chilled fillets and softening of the fish flesh during
31 storage was revealed. Contrary to chilled samples, the texture of superchilled mackerel fillets became
32 tougher along the storage period, which can be explained by a higher rate of myofibrillar oxidation ($r=0.940$,
33 $p<0.05$). The hardness and drip loss decreased slightly at the end of frozen storage. Superchilling preserved
34 the quality of mackerel fillets with the least side effects in relation to protein solubility, drip loss and
35 softening of the fish tissue as compared to chilled and frozen storage.

36

37 **Keywords:** superchilling, chilling, Atlantic mackerel, frozen storage, protease activity, quality parameters

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47 **Introduction**

48 Pelagic fish is a valuable food source due to its high nutritive value and essential nutrients such as omega-
49 3 fatty acids, fat-soluble vitamins (E and D) and easily digestible proteins (Venugopal, 2009). However, it
50 is highly perishable due to endogenous enzymes boosting proteolysis of muscle proteins, oxidation of lipids
51 and metabolic activities of microorganisms (Puolanne and Halonen, 2010, Standal et al., 2018). The high
52 perishability constrains long-distance delivery of fresh fish. Proper handling and preservation methods are
53 therefore needed to increase the shelf life and ensure safety while retaining sensory- and nutritional quality.
54 Chilling of fish is a commonly used practice to increase its shelf-life, while improving quality and safety
55 during storage by reducing the rate of enzymatic proteolysis, lipid oxidation, and microbial degradation
56 (Lauzon et al., 2010). A large amount of fresh seafood is currently transported on chilled ice over several
57 hundreds of kilometres, which makes up about one-third of the overall transport weight. This drastically
58 increases the transportation costs and leads to higher CO₂ emissions, resulting in less efficiency as more
59 transport units have to be used (Thordarson et al., 2017).

60 Frozen storage at -18°C to -40°C helps to ensure fish safety for a long time of storage and distribution,
61 while hindering chemical and microbial changes in the product (Lauzon et al., 2010). However, it may
62 impair the sensory quality (Montero and Borderias, 1990) and requires much higher energy consumption
63 in comparison with chilling (Thordarson et al., 2017).

64 Nevertheless, other preservation methods based on control of temperature can be used to reduce
65 biochemical degradation and microbial spoilage occurring during storage and distribution of fish. One of
66 them is superchilling, which may act as an attractive compromise between conventional chilling and
67 freezing (Duun and Rustad, 2008). Superchilling is defined as a method of preserving food by partial
68 freezing (Duun and Rustad, 2007), e.g. by applying low temperatures (< -30 °C) for a short time so that
69 the outer layer of the product is frozen, and further storage of the products at temperatures just below its
70 initial freezing point (1-2 °C) leading to an even distribution of ice within the product. This enables delivery
71 of higher amounts of valuable products with lower energy consumption and in less transport units, because

72 the ice shell inside the product acts as a thermal inertia (Gallart-Jornet et al. 2007). Superchilling has been
73 used for several decades in the fish processing as one of the most efficient refrigeration preservation
74 techniques helping to significantly increase the shelf life of fish products compared to commonly used
75 chilling technology (Duun and Rustad 2008; Magnussen et al. 2008).Up to now, superchilling preservation
76 technology has been successfully applied in preservation of cod, salmon, sea bass, tilapia (Duun and
77 Rustad 2007; Kaale, et al., 2014; Liu et al. 2010; Cyprian et al. 2013), as well as other seafood products.
78 However, despite the successful application of superchilling in extending the shelf-life of the seafood
79 products, this method may result in thermal denaturation of proteins decreasing protein solubility and
80 leading to increased drip loss and changes in the fish muscle hardness due to cell damage and protein
81 aggregation (Bahuaud et al., 2008; Duun and Rustad, 2008; Liu et al., 2013). Also, there is very little
82 information on the influence of superchilling on quality parameters of small pelagic fish, with no studies
83 performed on Atlantic mackerel, which has recently received greater attention due to its increasing capture
84 production and economic importance (FAO, 2015).

85 According to the European Market Observatory for Fisheries and Aquaculture Products (EUMOFA),
86 Atlantic mackerel ranked among the top small pelagic commodity groups both in volume and value in
87 2017 in Europe (EUMOFA, 2018). Therefore, the development of effective preservation technologies to
88 prolong shelf life and preserve quality of pelagic fish products, while minimizing production, delivery and
89 storage costs, become increasingly important. In respect to this concern, the aim of the present study was
90 to find the best preservation technology by assessing the potential of chilling, superchilling and freezing to
91 maintain the quality of Atlantic mackerel (*Scomber scombrus*) during storage.

92

93

94

95

96 2. Materials and Methods

97

98 2.1 Sample preparation

99 Atlantic mackerel (*Scomber scombrus*) was used as raw material in the present study. The fish were caught
100 on the 21st of January 2017, transported in RSW-tanks (Refrigerated Sea Water) and landed at Pelagia A.S.
101 processing plant (Selje, Norway) on the 23rd of January, where it was mechanically filleted. Average weight
102 of the resulting skin-on fillets was 89 ± 9.6 g, with an average length of 17 ± 1.4 cm. The mackerel fillets
103 were packed in 15 kg vacuum packs, placed on ice and transported by boat to SINTEF (Trondheim,
104 Norway). Upon arrival to SINTEF on the 25th of January, the fish was divided into three parts (for chilled,
105 superchilled and frozen storage experiments) and vacuum-packed. Sample packages of four mackerel fillets
106 each were placed in BST-090 type bags from "Three Seal Bags" series (Rolf Bayer Vacuumverpackung
107 GmbH) having the following parameters: thickness of 90 μm , gas permeability (O_2 , N_2 and CO_2) of up to
108 $60 \text{ cm}^3/\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{d} \cdot \text{bar}$ and water vapor permeability of up to $4 \text{ g}/\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{d}$. The vacuum-bags with the fish were
109 further heat-sealed using a vacuum sealing machine (Webomatic Vacuum packaging system, Super max,
110 3000 sensor). Temperature data loggers type SL52T (Signatrol, UK) were manually inserted into vacuum
111 bags with the fish fillets to monitor fluctuations of temperature during chilled, superchilled and frozen
112 storage experiments.

113 Superchilling was conducted in an Impingement Lab Freezer (JBT-Frigoscandia, Sweden) at -37°C (air
114 temperature) for 1.5 min at Energy's laboratory of Norwegian University of Science and Technology –
115 NTNU (Trondheim, Norway). The fish was further subjected to chilled and superchilled storage
116 experiments (on ice at $+4^\circ\text{C}$ and -1.7°C , respectively) performed at NTNU, as well as frozen storage (i.e.
117 vacuum packed fish fillets were put at -27°C storage facilities at SINTEF. A storage temperature of -25°C
118 to -30°C is recommended for frozen storage of fishery products in Europe – and is commonly used in
119 pelagic industry in Norway. Sample collections and analyses for chilled and superchilled fish were
120 performed at four and six different times, respectively. For chilled storage: on day 1 (directly upon arrival
121 to the laboratory, corresponding to day 4 after catch) and after 2-, 5- and 7-day storage. For superchilled

122 experiment: on day 1 (the same as for chilled fish) and after 2-, 5-, 9-, 14- and 19-day storage. The frozen
123 mackerel samples were analyzed on 1-, 9- and 12-month storage. Prior to analysis, the frozen mackerel
124 samples were first thawed overnight at +4°C. The number of replicates varied between n = 3 to n = 5 for
125 the different analyses.

126

127

128 **2.2 Chemical and physicochemical assays**

129

130 *Specific proteolytic activity*

131 The activity of cathepsin B+L was determined in centrifuged tissue fluid (CTF) of chilled and superchilled
132 mackerel samples as previously described by (Hultmann & Rustad, 2004). The CTF preparation was
133 performed as described by Nilsson (1994). Briefly, about 10-15 g of muscle was weighted out in a
134 centrifuge tube and further centrifuged for 30 minutes at 8000 x g. The supernatant was used as the CTF
135 for determination of cathepsin B+L activity. Prior to measurements, the amount of proteins in the extracts
136 was determined by BioRad protein assay, using bovine serum albumin as a standard (Bradford, 1976). The
137 analyses were run in triplicate.

138

139 *Drip loss*

140 For determination of drip loss, mackerel fillets were removed from the vacuum bags and blotted dry with a
141 tissue paper before weighing. The remaining liquid in the vacuum bag was also weighed and the drip loss
142 was calculated as the percentage of fish weight loss after removing the liquid (Kaale et al., 2014).

143

144 *Protein solubility*

145 Water- and salt soluble proteins were extracted from mackerel muscle by a modification of the method of
146 Licciardello et al. (1982), as previously described by Hultmann & Rustad (2002). The extraction procedure
147 was performed once on each fish fillet.

148 Protein content in the extracts was determined by using the method of Bradford (1976), with bovine serum
149 albumin (BSA) as a standard. The analyses were run in four replicates and the mean value \pm SD was
150 calculated.

151

152 *Protein oxidation*

153 Protein carbonyl groups were determined by DNPH-based Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA)
154 in a 96-well polystyrene plate as a measure of protein oxidation (Buss et al., 1997). The indirect ELISA kit,
155 STA-310 OxiSelect™, was purchased from Cell Biolabs, Inc. (San Diego, CA, USA).

156 Briefly, extracts of water- and salt-soluble proteins were used to determine total carbonyls in sarcoplasmic
157 and myofibrillar proteins. Before the analysis, all samples and BSA-standards were diluted with 1X PBS
158 to obtain solutions with protein concentration 10 μ g/ml, and then 100 μ l of each sample were introduced
159 in a 96-well protein binding plate for incubation overnight at 4°C. Then, each well was washed three times
160 with 250 μ l 1X PBS, and 100 μ l DNPH working solution were added followed by incubation for 45 minutes
161 at room temperature under dark.

162 After this, the wells were washed five times with 250 μ l 1X PBS/ethanol (1:1, v/v) with a 5-minute
163 incubation on an orbital shaker with 5 minutes between washes. Further, 200 μ l ELISA blocking solution
164 were added in each well followed by a 2-hour incubation at room temperature on an orbital shaker. After
165 the incubation, the wells were washed three times with 250 μ l ELISA wash buffer and 100 μ l diluted anti-
166 DNP antibody were added followed by one-hour incubation at room temperature on an orbital shaker and
167 subsequent washing with ELISA wash buffer. Further, 100 μ l diluted HRP-conjugated secondary antibody
168 were added to the wells, and the same washing procedure was performed for five times. Then, 100 μ l ELISA
169 substrate solution were added in each well and incubated at room temperature on an orbital shaker for
170 approximately 15 minutes. The enzyme reaction was ended by adding 100 μ l ELISA stopping solution in
171 all wells, and the absorbance was read immediately on a plate reader (Tecan, Austria) at 450 nm.

172 Carbonyl groups were determined in the four parallels for each protein extract (n = 4), and the average
173 value with standard deviation were calculated. The results were expressed in nmol carbonyls/mg protein.

174

175 ***Texture parameters***

176 Hardness and cohesiveness of chilled, superchilled and frozen mackerel samples were measured along the
177 whole internal dorsal part of the fillets using a TA.XT2 Texture Analyzer (SMS Stable Micro Systems,
178 Ltd., Surrey, UK) equipped with a 1 kg load cell according to the method described by Hultmann & Rustad
179 (2002). The fish fillets were kept on ice before the analysis. Texture Profile Analysis (TPA) as a double-
180 compression test was used for determination of the flesh hardness and cohesiveness. Mackerel fillets were
181 compressed twice by a flat-ended cylinder of 12 mm in diameter at a constant speed of 1 mm s⁻¹ until it had
182 reached 60 % of its height, carefully avoiding myocommata. The holding time between the compressions
183 was 5 s. The maximum resistance force was recorded in Newton (N) and expressed as fillets' hardness.
184 Cohesiveness was calculated as the area of work during the second compression divided by the area of
185 work during the first compression. From four to five measurements were run on each fillet and the average
186 was calculated.

187

188 **2.3 Statistical analysis**

189 Statistical analysis and data processing were conducted using Statgraphics Centurion XVI. Statistical
190 significance of the experimental data was verified by using Student's t-test and Analysis of Variance
191 (ANOVA). To establish a relationship between certain parameters, Pearson correlations were calculated.
192 Differences were considered significant at p<0.05.

193

194 **3. Results and discussion**

195

196 ***Specific proteolytic activity***

197 For the chilled-stored group, cathepsin B+L activity increased insignificantly (p<0.05) on the 7th day
198 storage, peaking at 1.24-fold of the initial value (Figure 1). For the superchilled mackerel samples, this

199 trend was slightly accelerated and cathepsin B+L activity reached the maximum level of chilled-stored
200 samples on the 5th day of superchilled storage. This phenomena can be explained by a greater release of
201 enzymes from lysosomes due to a mechanical damage of muscle cells by small ice crystals formed during
202 superchilling of fish (Kaale et al., 2014), compared to chilled fish. Although cathepsin B+L activity in CTF
203 of superchilled samples had no significant changes along the storage period, it increased significantly in
204 comparison with chilled samples. These results are in agreement with a study published by Bahuaud et al.
205 (2008) who reported an accelerated breakage of lysosomes by ice crystals and an increased release of
206 cathepsin B+L in Atlantic salmon fillets subjected to superchilling before ice storage, when compared with
207 chilled-stored salmon. Meanwhile, cathepsin B+L activity of superchilled mackerel samples increased
208 moderately within the first nine days of storage (Figure 1), it further stabilized, peaking at 1.09-fold of the
209 initial value on the 19th day of storage. This may be explained by a greater release of cathepsins B and L
210 from the cell damaged by small ice crystals formed during superchilling in the beginning of storage
211 (Bahuaud et al., 2008).

212

213 **Figure 1**

214

215 ***Drip loss***

216 There was a significant ($p < 0.05$) increase in drip loss for both the chilled and superchilled mackerel samples
217 during storage (Figure 2). This is in agreement with the results reported by Kaale et al. (2014), who found
218 significant differences in water loss during chilled and superchilled storage of salmon. However,
219 superchilled samples had lower values of drip loss compared to chilled samples during the whole storage
220 period. A significant increase in drip loss in both chilled and superchilled mackerel fillets during storage
221 can be partially explained by the effect of cathepsin B+L activity (Figure 2), resulting in faster breakdown
222 of proteins, which decrease the ability of muscle proteins to bind and hold water (Puolanne and Halonen,
223 2010).

224 Drip loss of frozen mackerel samples increased gradually during the first 9 months of storage and then
225 dropped, reaching the value of 5.54% on 12th month. Moreover, it was significantly lower after 12 months
226 of frozen storage compared to the last day of chilled and superchilled storage of mackerel samples.

227

228

229

Figure 2

230

231 *Protein solubility*

232 Muscle proteins undergo denaturation during storage of fish, leading to a decrease in amount of soluble
233 proteins (Duun and Rustad, 2008). Therefore, changes during chilled, superchilled and frozen storage in
234 sarcoplasmic (water-soluble) and myofibrillar proteins (salt-soluble) of mackerel samples were determined
235 in the study (Figure 3A-B). There were no significant changes between methods of storage with respect to
236 water-soluble proteins, because they are generally more stable during storage than salt-soluble ones (Duun
237 and Rustad, 2008). This is in accordance with the results reported by Standal et al (2018). The content of
238 water-soluble proteins remained unchanged after a 12-month frozen storage, which is in contrast with the
239 studies of Leelapongwattana et al. (2005) and Saeed and Howell (2002) revealing a reduction in protein
240 extractability during frozen storage of fish. The reason for the small differences in content of water-soluble
241 proteins can be explained by the lower content of sarcoplasmic proteins compared to myofibrillar proteins
242 – leading to less significant changes during storage compared to myofibrillar proteins (Tejade, 2001).

243 Solubility of myofibrillar proteins decreased significantly during superchilled storage of mackerel, which
244 can be explained by a combined effect of denaturation of cells by small ice crystals and detrimental activity
245 of proteases (Duun and Rustad, 2008) released from lysosomes, as shown by the negative correlation of
246 content of myofibrillar proteins and cathepsin B+L activity($p<0.05$, $R=-0.645$). Freezing also decreased the
247 solubility of myofibrillar proteins (Figure 3B), more notably from 9 to 12 months of storage, which is in
248 agreement with the results of Medina et al. (2009) and Standal et al (2018). The decrease in extractability
249 of myofibrillar proteins observed in this study is in agreement with the results of earlier investigations

250 (Rodriguez et al., 2006; Duun and Rustad, 2007; Medina et al. 2009). The amount of extracted salt-soluble
251 proteins in superchilled mackerel samples was significantly lower than in chilled fillets, which is in
252 agreement with the study on cod by Duun and Rustad (2007). Similarly to the latter study, the extractability
253 of myofibrillar proteins in chilled samples increased slightly at the end of storage in the present study. The
254 lower extractability of myofibrillar proteins in both superchilled and frozen mackerel samples during
255 storage was also observed by Duun and Rustad (2007; 2008), Medina et al. (2009) and Standal et al (2018)
256 working with cod, salmon, horse mackerel and Atlantic mackerel, respectively.

257

258 **Figure 3**

259

260 ***Protein oxidation***

261 During chilled storage, the protein oxidation expressed as carbonyl content was significantly reduced in the
262 sarcoplasmic, but remained stable in the myofibrillar proteins (Figure 4A-B). This is in agreement with
263 previous research of Standal et al. (2018) revealing no significant changes in carbonyl content of
264 myofibrillar proteins during chilled storage of Atlantic mackerel fillets for 9 days at 4°C.

265 Moreover, no significant variation in water-soluble proteins of mackerel samples were observed during a
266 14-day superchilled storage. Nevertheless, salt-soluble proteins of superchilled fillets suffered from a
267 significant increase in carbonyl content from 9th day of storage (Figure 4B). Similarly, the oxidation rate in
268 both sarcoplasmic and myofibrillar proteins varied significantly along 1 year of frozen storage, with a
269 pronounced increase in amount of protein carbonyls after month 9 (Figure 4 A and B).

270 A significant increase in protein carbonyls during storage of frozen and superchilled mackerel samples can
271 be explained by the cell disruption by ice crystals during freezing and cell puncture by small ice crystals
272 during superchilling, liberating various pro-oxidants (H₂O₂, iron, myoglobin, etc.) which further increase
273 the oxidative status of unfrozen phase of the fish (Standal et al., 2018). This phenomenon is ascribed to

274 cryo-concentration of pro-oxidant solutes around protein molecules in the unfrozen portion of water of the
275 product, leading to increased oxidation (Standal et al., 2018).
276 Myofibrillar proteins were characterized by a higher carbonyl content than sarcoplasmic ones for all storage
277 times (4-5 times higher at the end of storage time for chilled, superchilled and frozen samples). Similar
278 results were obtained for chilled and frozen storage of mackerel samples (Standal et al., 2018), as well as
279 thin-lipped mullet (Tokur and Polat, 2010). This tendency can be explained by a higher perceptibility of
280 salt-soluble proteins to denature both during processing and storage (Duun and Rustad, 2007).

281

282 *Texture parameters*

283 There was an insignificant decrease of flesh hardness (Figure 5A) and breaking strength (Figure 5B) in
284 chilled mackerel fillets in comparison with superchilled samples during storage. The texture softening in
285 chilled mackerel samples can be associated with increased proteolytic activity leading to myocyte apoptosis
286 (Ge et al., 2015). In support of this hypothesis, a significant correlation ($r=0.983$, $p<0.05$) between the
287 activities of cathepsin B+L in mackerel samples and softening of the fish flesh during chilled storage was
288 found. This tendency can be explained by a detriment effect of chilled storage on the integrity of lysosomes,
289 leading to their breakage and the resulting release of cathepsins (Ge et al., 2015). The post-mortem
290 tenderization of fish muscle during chilled storage has been largely studied for several decades and has
291 been mainly attributed to activities of endogenous proteases on myofibrillar proteins (Ashie and Simpson,
292 1996). The progressive post-mortem softening of fish flesh primarily relates to weakening of Z-discs of
293 myofibrils (Seki and Tsuchiya, 1991) and myosin-actin junctions (Yamanoue and Takahashi, 1988), as well
294 as alterations in the pericellular connective tissue (Ando et al., 1991).

295 However, contrary to the increased rate of proteolytic activity in superchilled mackerel fillets (Figure 1) in
296 comparison to chilled-stored fillets, the texture of superchilled samples became tougher during storage
297 (Figure 5A). This could be explained by a higher rate of myofibrillar oxidation in the superchilled fillets
298 (Figure 4A), leading to an increase in fish tissue hardness during storage. Moreover, a significant correlation
299 ($r=0.940$, $p<0.05$) between the formation of carbonyl groups in myofibrillar proteins and the increase in

300 hardness of superchilled mackerel fillets during storage confirmed this hypothesis. It is generally agreed
301 that oxidative modifications of proteins often lead to a decreased tenderness of both fish and meat (Lund et
302 al., 2008; Kim et al., 2012; Listrat et al., 2016). According to these studies, the toughening effect is often
303 accompanied by formation of protein crosslinks, including collagen crosslinks (Montero and Borderias,
304 1990; Kim et al., 2012; Listrat et al., 2016), as well as formaldehyde production in the muscle and the
305 aggregation of myofibrillar protein and stroma (Montero and Borderias,1990).
306 The hardness of frozen mackerel samples was gradually decreasing during storage, reaching the value of
307 7.33 ± 1.38 N on month 12. However, the softening of the muscle tissue varied insignificantly along the
308 storage period, being probably associated with gradually reduced drip loss ($r=0.825$, $p<0.05$) preventing
309 drying-induced toughening of the fish flesh during frozen storage.

310

311

312

Figure 5

313

314 4. Conclusion

315 The study has revealed that compared to chilled and frozen storage, superchilling may successfully preserve
316 the fish muscle integrity from structural breakdown, resulting in lower values of drip loss and less fish
317 tissue softening. No significant differences ($p<0.05$) were found between solubility of sarcoplasmic proteins
318 in chilled, superchilled and frozen samples along the whole storage period. However, the extractability of
319 myofibrillar proteins decreased significantly during superchilled and frozen storage. Protein oxidation
320 increased significantly ($p<0.05$) in myofibrillar proteins of superchilled samples in comparison with chilled
321 samples, partially contributing to a slight increase in the muscle tissue hardness ($r=0.940$, $p<0.05$).
322 However, frozen mackerel samples had much higher content of protein carbonyls in myofibrillar proteins
323 at the end of storage period compared to both chilled and superchilled samples. Therefore, we can conclude

324 that preservation of mackerel fillets by superchilling could be an alternative to chilled storage in regard to
325 detrimental changes in protein characteristics.

326

327

328 **5. Acknowledgments**

329 The study displayed in the paper is based upon work supported by the JPI project ProHealth “Innovative
330 processing to preserve positive health effects in pelagic fish products”, RCN 259582/E50. Pelagia Selje is
331 acknowledged for providing fish for the experiments.

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350 **References**

- 351 1) Ando M., Toyohara H., Shimizu Y. and Sakaguchi M. (1991). Post-mortem tenderization of
352 rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) muscle caused by gradual disintegration of the extracellular
353 matrix structure. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 55, 589-597.
- 354 2) Ashie, I. N. A., Simpson, B. K. (1996). Application of high hydrostatic pressure to control enzyme
355 related fresh seafood texture deterioration. *Food Research International*, 29, 569-575.
- 356 3) Bahuaud, D., Mørkøre, T., Langsrud, Ø., Sinnes, K., Veiseth, E., Ofstad, R., Thomassen M.S.
357 (2008). Effects of 1.5°C Super-chilling on quality of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) pre-rigor fillets:
358 cathepsin activity, muscle histology, texture and liquid leakage. *Food Chemistry*, 111, 329–339.
- 359 4) Bradford, M. (1976). A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of
360 protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 72, 248-254.
- 361 5) Buss, H., Chan TP, Sluis KB, Domigan NM, Winterbourn CC. (1997). Protein carbonyl
362 measurement by a sensitive ELISA method. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, 23(3): 361-366.
- 363 6) Cyprian, O., Lauzon, H. L., Jóhannsson R., Sveinsdóttir, K., Arason, S., Martinsdóttir, E. (2013).
364 Shelf life of air and modified atmosphere-packaged fresh tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fillets
365 stored under chilled and superchilled conditions. *Food Science & Nutrition*, 1, 130-140.
- 366 7) Duun, A. S. and Rustad, T. (2007). Quality changes during superchilled storage of cod (*Gadus*
367 *morhua*) fillets. *Food Chemistry*, 105(3), 1067-1075.
- 368 8) Duun, A. S., and Rustad, T. (2008). Quality of superchilled vacuum packed Atlantic salmon (*Salmo*
369 *salar*) fillets stored at -1.4°C and -3.6°C. *Food Chemistry*, 106, 122–131.
- 370 9) EUMOFA (2018). European Market Observatory for Fisheries and Aquaculture Products. Monthly
371 Highlights, 1/2018. <http://www.eumofa.eu/documents/20178/111091/MH+1+2018+07.02..pdf/>
- 372 10) FAO (2015). Fisheries and aquaculture statistics. Capture Production. Yearbook 2015, 100/1. (pp.
373 12–50). Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

- 374 11) Gallart-Jornet, L., Rustad, T., Barat, J. M., Fito, P. and Escriche, I. (2007). Effect of superchilled
375 storage on the freshness and salting behavior of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) fillets. *Food*
376 *Chemistry*, 103 (4), 1268–1281.
- 377 12) Ge, L., Xu, Y., and Xia, W. (2015). The function of endogenous cathepsin in quality deterioration
378 of grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*) fillets stored in chilling conditions. *International Journal*
379 *of Food Science & Technology*, 50 (3), 797–803.
- 380 13) Hultmann, L., and Rustad, T. (2002). Textural changes during iced storage of salmon (*Salmo salar*)
381 and cod (*Gadus morhua*). *Journal of Aquatic Food Product Technology*, 11 (3-4), 105-123.
- 382 14) Hultmann, L., and Rustad, T. (2004). Iced storage of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) – Effects on
383 endogenous enzymes and their impact on muscle proteins and texture. *Food Chemistry*, 87 (1), 31-
384 41.
- 385 15) Kaale, L.D., Eikevik, T.M., Rustad, T. and Nordtvedt, T.S. (2014). Changes in water holding
386 capacity and drip loss of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) muscle during superchilled storage.
387 *Lebensmittel-Wissenschaft und -Technologie (LWT)*, 55, 528-536.
- 388 16) Kim, Y. H. B., Bødker, S., & Rosenvold, K. (2012). Influence of lamb age and high-oxygen
389 modified atmosphere packaging on protein polymerization of long-term aged lamb loins. *Food*
390 *Chemistry*, 135, 122-126.
- 391 17) Lauzon H. L., Margeirsson B., Sveinsdottir K., Gudhjónsdottir M., Karlsdot M.G., Martinsdottir
392 E. (2010). *Overview on Fish Quality Research. Impact of Fish Handling, Processing, Storage and*
393 *Logistics on Fish Quality Deterioration. Matís Report*. Reykjavík, Iceland. pp. 12-55.
- 394 18) Leelapongwattana, K., Benjakul, S., Visessanguan, W., and Howell, N. K. 2005. Physicochemical
395 and biochemical changes in whole lizardfish (*Saurida micropectoralis*) muscles and fillets during
396 frozen storage. *Journal of Food Biochemistry*, 29, 547–569.
- 397 19) Licciardello, J. J., Ravesi, E. M., Lundstrom, R. C., Wilhelm, K. A., Correia, F. F., & Allsup, M.
398 G. (1982). Time-temperature tolerance and physical-chemical quality tests for frozen red hake.
399 *Journal of Food Quality*, 5, 215–234.

- 400 20) Listrat, A., Lebret, B., Louveau, I., Astruc, T., Bonnet, M., Lefaucheur, L., Bugeon, J., (2016). How
401 muscle structure and composition influence meat and flesh quality. *Scientific World Journal*,
402 3182746, 1-14.
- 403 21) Liu, S L, Lu, F, Xu, X B, Ding, Y T. (2010). Superchilling maintains freshness of modified
404 atmosphere-packaged *Lateolabrax japonicus*. *International Journal of Food Science &*
405 *Technology*, 45, 1932-1938.
- 406 22) Liu, D., Liang, L., Xia, W., Regenstein, J. M., & Zhou, P. (2013). Biochemical and physical
407 changes of grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*) fillets stored at -3 and 0° C. *Food Chemistry*, 140
408 (1-2), 105-114.
- 409 23) Lund, M. N., Luxford, C., Skibsted, L. H., & Davies, M. J. (2008). Oxidation of myosin by haem
410 proteins generates myosin radicals and protein cross-links. *Biochemical Journal*, 410, 565-574.
- 411 24) Magnussen, O.M., Haugland, A., Hemmingsen, A.K.T., Johansen, S., Nordtvedt, T.S. (2008).
412 Advances in superchilling of food - Process characteristics and product quality. *Trends in Food*
413 *Science & Technology*, 19, 418-424.
- 414 25) Medina, I., González, M.J., Iglesias, J. and Hedges, N.D. (2009). Effect of hydroxycinnamic acids
415 on lipid oxidation and protein changes as well as water holding capacity in frozen minced horse
416 mackerel white muscle. *Food Chemistry*, 114(3), 881–888.
- 417 26) Montero, P. and Borderias, J. (1990). Behaviour of myofibrillar proteins and collagen in hake
418 (*Merluccius merluccius* L.) muscle during frozen storage and its effects on on the texture.
419 *Lebensmittel-Untersuchung und -Forschung*, 190(2), 112-117.
- 420 27) Nilsson, K. (1994). Quality of frozen rainbow trout. Effects of different freezing treatments. Quality
421 of frozen rainbow trout. Effects of different freezing treatments. Stockholm: Chalmers tekniska
422 högskola.
- 423 28) Puolanne, E., and Halonen, M. (2010). Theoretical aspects of water-holding in meat. *Meat Science*,
424 86, 151–165.

- 425 29) Rodriguez, O., Barros-Velazquez, J., Pineiro, C., Gallardo, J. M., & Aubourg, S. P. (2006). Effects
426 of storage in slurry ice on the microbial, chemical and sensory quality and on the shelf life of farmed
427 turbot (*Psetta maxima*). *Food Chemistry*, 95(2), 270-278.
- 428 30) Saeed, S., and Howell, N. K. (2002). Effect of lipid oxidation and frozen storage on muscle proteins
429 of Atlantic mackerel (*Scomber scombrus*). *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 82,
430 579–586.
- 431 31) Seki, N. and Tsuchiya, H. (1991). Extensive changes during storage in carp myofibrillar proteins
432 in relation to fragmentation. *Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi*, 57, 927-933.
- 433 32) Standal, I.B., Mozuraityte, R., Rustad, T., Alinasabhematabadi, L., Carlsson, N.G., & Undeland, I.
434 (2018): Quality of Filleted Atlantic Mackerel (*Scomber Scombrus*) During Chilled and Frozen
435 Storage: Changes in Lipids, Vitamin D, Proteins, and Small Metabolites, including Biogenic
436 Amines. *Journal of Aquatic Food Product Technology*, DOI: 10.1080/10498850.2018.1436107
- 437 33) Thordarson, G., Arason S., and Karlsdóttir, M. (2017). Sub chilling of fish. Project report.
438 [http://www.nordicinnovation.org/Documents/Marine%202.0/Sub%20Chilling%20-](http://www.nordicinnovation.org/Documents/Marine%202.0/Sub%20Chilling%20-%20Final%20Report%20(006).pdf)
439 [%20Final%20Report%20\(006\).pdf](http://www.nordicinnovation.org/Documents/Marine%202.0/Sub%20Chilling%20-%20Final%20Report%20(006).pdf)
- 440 34) Tokur, B., and Polat, A. (2010). Myofibrillar and sarcoplasmic protein oxidation and degradation
441 of thin-lipped gray mullet (*Liza ramada*) during refrigerated storage. *Journal of Muscle Foods*, 21,
442 102–118.
- 443 35) Venugopal, V. (2009). *Marine Products for Healthcare: Functional and Bioactive Nutraceutical*
444 *Compounds from the Ocean*. Boca Raton: CRC Press/Taylor & Francis.
- 445 36) Yamanoue, M., and Takahashi, K. (1988). Effect of paratropomyosin on the increase in sarcomere
446 length of rigor shortened skeletal muscles. *Journal of Biochemistry*, 103, 843-847.
- 447
- 448
- 449

450 **Legends to figures**

451 **Figure 1.** Activity of cathepsins B+L of chilled and superchilled fillets reported as increase in FI/g wet
452 weight/min.

453

454 **Figure 2.** Drip loss in chilled, superchilled and frozen samples during storage. Day 1 shows drip loss in
455 fish arrived in the lab.

456

457 **Figure 3.** Amount of sarcoplasmic (A) myofibrillar proteins (B) in chilled, superchilled and frozen samples
458 during storage.

459

460 **Figure 4.** Carbonyl content in sarcoplasmic (A) and myofibrillar proteins (B) in chilled, superchilled and
461 frozen samples.

462

463 **Figure 5.** Hardness (A) and cohesiveness (B) of chilled, superchilled and frozen samples during storage.

464